JAST (Journal of Animal Science and Technology) TITLE PAGE Upload this completed form to website with submission

ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
Article Type	Research article
Article Title (within 20 words without abbreviations)	Exploring the impacts of different antral follicle count and luteal presence on ovarian response and fertility in inseminated Boer does
Running Title (within 10 words)	Increasing fertility with high number of antral follicle count
Author	Tossapol Moonmanee ^{1,2,*} , Nalinthip Promsao ¹ , Punnawut Yama ¹ , Assawadet Suriard ¹ , Wichayaporn Butmata ¹ , Siriluck Ampawa ¹ , Raktham Mektrirat ³ , Julakorn Panatuk ⁴ , Payungsuk Intawicha ⁵ , Jiratti Thammasiri ⁶ , and Chien-Kai Wang ⁷
Affiliation	 ¹ Department of Animal and Aquatic Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand ² Functional Feed Innovation Center, Faculty of Agriculture, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand ³ Department of Veterinary Biosciences and Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50100, Thailand ⁴ Faculty of Animal Science and Technology, Maejo University, Chiang Mai 50290, Thailand ⁵ Division of Animal Science, School of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Phayao, Phayao 56000, Thailand ⁶ Department of Animal Production Technology, Faculty of Agricultural Technology, Kalasin University, Kalasin 46000, Thailand ⁷ Department of Animal Science, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung 40227, Taiwan
https://orcid.org)	Nalinthip Promsao (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9490-1357) Nalinthip Promsao (https://orcid.org/0009-0007-6128-7198) Punnawut Yama (https://orcid.org/0009-0002-7517-7834) Assawadet Suriard (https://orcid.org/0009-0006-8275-9222) Wichayaporn Butmata (https://orcid.org/0009-0000-9156-0270) Siriluck Ampawa (https://orcid.org/0009-0003-5310-6458) Raktham Mektrirat (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7429-0993) Julakorn Panatuk (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1635-7624) Payungsuk Intawicha (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0314-0813) Jiratti Thammasiri (https://orcid.org/0009-0001-4565-4978) Chien-Kai Wang (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9162-6820)
Competing interests	No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.
Funding sources State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available.	The research was funded by the Graduate Research Scholarships in Agriculture and Agro-Industry Research, Agricultural Research Development Agency (Public Organization), Thailand (grant number: GSCMU(HRD65050085)/10/2565).
Acknowledgements	The authors thank to the Graduate Research Scholarships in Agriculture and Agro-Industry Research, Agricultural Research Development Agency (Public Organization), Thailand for the financial support (grant number: GSCMU(HRD65050085)/10/2565). This research work was partially supported by Chiang Mai University, Thailand. This study was jointly supported by the Production and Processing Livestock, University of Phayao (FF67- UoE014), Thailand.
Availability of data and material	Upon reasonable request, the datasets of this study can be available from the corresponding author.

Authors' contributions	Conceptualization: Moonmanee T, Mektrirat R, Panatuk J, Intawicha
Please specify the authors' role using this form.	P, Thammasiri J, Wang CK.
	Data curation: Moonmanee T.
	Methodology: Promsao N, Yama P, Suriard A, Butmata W, Ampawa
	S.
	Validation: Moonmanee T.
	Investigation: Moonmanee T.
	Writing - original draft: Moonmanee T.
	Writing - review & editing: Moonmanee T, Promsao N, Yama P,
	Suriard A, Butmata W, Ampawa S, Mektrirat R, Panatuk J, Intawicha
	P, Thammasiri J, Wang CK.
Ethics approval and consent to participate	The current experiment was approved by the Animal Care and Use for Science and Technology Research of Maejo University (MACUC019A/2564) according to the Ethical Principles and
	Guidelines for the Use of Animals of the National Research Council of Thailand.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION

For the corresponding author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints)	Fill in information in each box below				
First name, middle initial, last name	Tossapol Moonmanee				
Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent	Tossapol.m@cmu.ac.th				
Secondary Email address	Tossapol_kku@hotmail.com				
Address	239 Department of Animal and Aquatic Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand				
Cell phone number	+66 9471 5000 7				
Office phone number	+66 5394 4070-74 ext. 129				
Fax number	+66 5335 7601				

1 Abstract

2 Antral follicle count (AFC) is considered a useful non-invasive method for providing valuable insights into a 3 female's ovarian reserve. However, the influence of AFC and corpora lutea (CL) at the time of exogenous 4 hormonal trigger (synchronization) on ovarian response to stimulation and fertility in goats remains unclear. 5 This research aims to explore the impacts of different AFC and CL presence at the onset of hormonal 6 synchronization (on Day 0) for fixed-time artificial insemination (fixed-time AI) on response to hormonal 7 stimulation and fertility in Boer does. On Day 0, a transrectal ultrasound was performed to detect all visible 8 antral follicle (AF; ≥ 2 mm) and CL. Based on AFC and CL, 128 does were divided into four groups in a 2 \times 2 9 factorial trial (AFC I [≤3 follicles], AFC II [>3 follicles], with CL [CL+], and without CL [CL-]): groups I 10 (AFC I × CL+), II (AFC I × CL-), III (AFC II × CL+), and IV (AFC II × CL-). On Day 7, does were 11 inseminated with cervical AI using the first dose of frozen thawed semen. On Day 7, there was no interaction 12 between AFC and CL on all parameters of ovarian follicles. The follicle and reproductive parameters and ovarian responsive rate did not differ between CL+ and CL- does. Does with AFC >3 follicles had a greater 13 14 number of large AF (>4 mm) and ovarian increased the responsive rate than does having AFC \leq 3 follicles on 15 their ovaries. The multiple kidding (twin kidding and triplet kidding) rate and fertility were superior for does 16 having AFC >3 follicles than does having AFC \leq 3 follicles at the beginning of hormonal synchronization for 17 fixed-time AI. Moreover, the likelihood of ovarian response to synchronization and multiple kidding increased 18 by 3.03 and 4.09 times, respectively, in does with a greater total number of AF (AFC >3 follicles) at the time of 19 exogenous hormonal synchronization. Higher ovarian responses to stimulation and fertility are demonstrated by 20 the previous appearance of more AFC available for selection into the ovulatory pool in poly-ovulatory does 21 when performing hormonal synchronization for fixed-time AI.

22

23 Keywords: Goat, Litter size, Multiple kidding rate, Oocyte-containing follicles

24

25 INTRODUCTION

The number of oocyte-containing follicles is the key to successful assisted reproductive technologies (ARTs) in domestic animals [1,2]. Despite a worldwide increase in the application of ARTs, the amount of healthy follicle reserves on the ovaries remains a limiting factor to ARTs success in domestic animals [3]. Antral follicle count 29 (AFC), obtained using high resolution transrectal ultrasonography, refers to the total amount of antral follicles 30 (AF; follicle population) present in an ovary at a specific time [4,5]. During the natural ovarian cycle, AFC 31 in mono-ovulatory large ruminants is consistent throughout their estrous cycle, and cattle with a greater AFC 32 have improved pregnancy outcomes [6]. As compared to mono-ovulatory cattle, poly-ovulatory ruminant 33 species such as sheep and goats can potentially ovulate more than one follicle per ovarian cycle. In poly-34 ovulatory small ruminants, fertility (prolificacy) is intimately correlated to the condition of the follicle 35 population in ovaries, ovarian follicular development, and ovulation rate [7]. In goat production, producers have 36 an intense interest in increased productive efficiency that affects their farm profit; therefore, it is very important 37 to attend goat fertility (an economically important trait) [8]. Due to the utilization of an economically important 38 trait for genetic improvement of livestock production, more research is needed to considerably investigate the 39 association between the ovarian follicular reserve (remaining oocyte supply) and reproductive potential in 40 species with low ovulation performance, including sheep and cattle [9,10]. To investigate this point, information 41 regarding the involvement of AFC available for selection into the ovulatory pool in poly-ovulatory species is 42 needed. Despite the wide use of AFC as a biomarker for identifying fertility potential in mono-ovulatory 43 animals, there is so little information on the association between AFC and fertility potential in poly-ovulatory 44 small ruminants, including goats. Until now, the influence of different AFC at the onset of synchronization 45 (exogenous hormonal trigger) on ovarian response to stimulation and fertility potential has not been explored in 46 goats. Thus, understanding ovarian biology in sheep and goats is an important component in manipulating 47 ovarian functions in poly-ovulatory small ruminants, and a better body of knowledge about follicular 48 development is crucial to increasing used ARTs in small ruminant herds [11,12]. Taking all of these 49 observations into consideration, we hypothesized that different numbers of AF and presence of CL at the onset 50 of synchronization would lead to different follicular responses to stimulation and fertility potential in goats 51 following the fixed-time artificial insemination (fixed-time AI) program. The present research was planned with 52 the objective of evaluating the effects of different numbers of AF and the presence of CL at the onset of 53 hormonal synchronization for fixed-time AI on ovarian response to stimulation and fertility potential in 54 primiparous Boer does.

- 55
- 56
- 57

58 MATERIALS AND METHODS

59 Ethical clearance

60 The Animal Care and Use for Science and Technology Research of Maejo University (MACUC019A/2564)

61 approved experiment protocol.

62

63 Experimental animals, housing, feeding, and site

The research was conducted using 128 primiparous, non-pregnant crossbred does (local × Boer) with an average age of 19.3 ± 3.4 months (mean ± standard deviation [SD]) and body condition score (BCS) of 2.5 ± 0.8 (mean \pm SD). Does were reared in a semi-intensive management and fed a diet consisting fresh-cut ruzi grass (*Brachiaria ruziziensis*) and commercial concentrate (18% crude protein). Fresh drinking water and mineral licks were provided to goats throughout the study period. The study was carried out at goat farms in Ching Mai province, Thailand (latitude 18°36'36''N, longitude 98°53' 7''E, and altitude 300 m), which was conducted over the summer season of March to May 2022.

71

72 Ultrasonographic assessment and experimental animal groups

73 At the initiation of hormonal synchronization for ovulation and fixed-time AI (on Day 0; Fig. 1), 128 does were 74 evaluated by high-frequency (7.5 MHz) transrectal ultrasound with a linear-array transducer (HS-1600V, Honda 75 Electronics, Japan) to detect all visible AF (≥ 2 mm in diameter) [9,13] and corpora lutea (CL) on both ovaries. 76 Antral follicles on both ovaries were counted to generate AFC. The reproductive conditions of the does are in 77 luteal status (presence of CL; n = 21) and follicular status (absence of CL; n = 107). Based on two factors (AFC [AFC I and AFC II] and CL [with CL and without CL]), 128 does were divided into four groups in a 2×2 78 79 factorial arrangement. Group I (AFC I \times CL+; n = 10) included does having AFC \leq 3 follicles (1–3 follicles; 80 AFC I) and with CL (CL+). Group II (AFC I \times CL-; n = 61) included does having AFC \leq 3 follicles (1-3) 81 follicles; AFC I) and without CL (CL-). Group III (AFC II × CL+; n = 11) comprised does having AFC >3 82 follicles (4–9 follicles; AFC II) and with CL (CL+). Group IV (AFC II × CL-; n = 46) comprised does having 83 AFC >3 follicles (4-9 follicles; AFC II) and without CL (CL-). At each examination, the relative location and 84 follicular characteristics (number and diameter) of detected ovarian AF in both ovaries were recorded and 85 sketched on ovarian charts. Based on the follicular diameter, the AF were classed as small-sized (2-4 mm) or 86 large-sized (>4 mm) [14].

87 Hormonal synchronization for ovulation and subsequent fixed-time AI

88 At the beginning of synchronization protocol (on Day 0; Fig. 1), does were inserted with progesterone (P4)-89 releasing an intravaginal device (CIDR; 300 mg of P4, Eazi-Breed[®], Zoetis Ins., New Zealand). At P4-device 90 withdrawal on Day 5, all does received intramuscular administrations of prostaglandin F2 alpha (PGF_{2a}; 0.25 mg 91 of cloprostenol, Estrumate[®], MSD Animal Health, New Zealand) and equine chorionic gonadotrophin (eCG; 92 400 IU, Folligon[®], MSD Animal Health, New Zealand). On Day 7, does were administered with gonadotropin-93 releasing hormone (GnRH; 0.01 mg of Buserelin acetate, Receptal®, MSD Animal Health, New Zealand) and 94 were inseminated with cervical AI using the first dose of frozen thawed semen. All does were inseminated a 95 second time 24 h later (on Day 8). The straw semen (0.25 mL) contained 200×10^6 spermatozoa/0.25 mL straw.

96

97 Ovarian follicular response to hormonal induction

98 On Day 7 (Fig. 1), all does were scanned by a transrectal ultrasound to detect all visible AF (≥2 mm) on both 99 ovaries. Antral follicles were classified, based on diameter, as small AF (2-4 mm) or large AF (>4 mm) [14]. 100 Ovarian response to successful hormonal induction in the does was indicated by the emergence of the large 101 preovulatory follicles (POFs) (>4 mm) on their ovaries after the end of the hormonal synchronization period 102 [14,15]. Responsive rate (%) computed as the percentage of does that emerged large POFs (>4 mm) on Day 7 103 divided by the number of experimental does. In addition, the 128 does were sub-classified, based on ovarian 104 response to hormonal stimulation, into two groups: ovarian responsive does (n = 107) and ovarian non-105 responsive does (n = 21).

106

107 **Pregnancy diagnosis**

All does were evaluated by transrectal ultrasonography to diagnose their pregnancy status by scanning the uterine contents at 30 days after fixed-time AI. Pregnancy was identified by the presence of an amniotic vesicle containing an embryo.

111

112 **Reproductive parameters**

113 The pregnancy rate was computed as the percentage of animals pregnant divided by the total number of 114 experimental animals. The kidding rate was computed as the percentage of females having birth divided by the 115 number of pregnant females. Single, twin, triplet, and multiple kidding rates were determined as the percentage of does having a single kid, twin, triplet, or multiple kids divided by the number of does having birth. In addition, fertility (prolificacy) was the number of kids born per does that kidded [16].

118

119 Statistical analyses

120 Analysis of all data was performed in SAS OnDemand for Academics (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The class 121 variables of the statistical model were the different number of AF and the different status of CL on Day 0 and 122 the emergence of large POFs on Day 7. The covariates of the model were BCS and age; however, BCS and age 123 prior to start the study had no effect (p > 0.0500) on number and diameter of AF and fertility. A 2 \times 2 factorial 124 analysis was used to consider the effect of AFC types, CL presence, and their interaction on number and 125 diameter of AF on Days 0 and 7 and fertility. Regardless of AFC and CL groups, the differences in number and 126 diameter of AF on Days 0 and 7 and fertility between ovarian responsive and non-responsive does were 127 estimated using Student's t-test. Continuous values (number and diameter of AF and litter size) were 128 represented mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). The differences in ovarian responsive, pregnancy, 129 kidding, single kidding, twin kidding, triplet kidding, and multiple kidding rates among groups were estimated 130 using Chi-square test. Logistic regression methodology, which generated estimates of odds ratios (OR) and 95% 131 confidence intervals (CI), was used to assess the ovarian-important factors (number and diameter of AF and CL 132 appearance) at the onset of the hormonal synchronization for ovulation and fixed-time AI (on Day 0) and the 133 likelihoods of ovarian response to hormonal stimulation and multiple kidding occurrences. Significance was 134 stated when $p \leq 0.0500$.

135

136 **RESULTS**

137 The influence of AFC and CL at the time of synchronization on follicle population and ovarian response138 to stimulation

139 At the time of synchronization (on Day 0), no effect of AFC \times CL interaction (p > 0.0500) was observed for all

140 parameters of ovarian AF (Table 1). In the main factor, does having AFC >3 follicles (AFC II) had a greater

- 141 number of small AF (p = 0.0001) and a total number of AF (p = 0.0001) on Day 0 than those in does having
- 142 AFC ≤3 follicles (AFC I) on their ovaries (Table 1). Does in AFC II (AFC >3 follicles) had, on average, the
- 143 larger size of the largest AF (p = 0.0206) than does with AFC ≤ 3 follicles (AFC I) on their ovaries (Table 1).
- 144 The number of large AF and diameter of AF were similar (p = 0.3689 and p = 0.1181, respectively) between

AFC I and AFC II does (Table 1). Besides, does with CL (CL+) on Day 0 had a larger (p = 0.0422) population of small AF compared with does without CL (CL-) on their ovaries (Table 1). Does in CL- had, on average, larger diameters of AF (p = 0.0005) and the largest AF (p = 0.0001) than does having CL (CL+) (Table 1). The number of large AF (p = 0.6741) and the total number of AF (p = 0.9949) were unaffected by CL status (Table 1).

150 At the time of fixed-time AI (on Day 7), no effect of AFC \times CL interaction (p > 0.0500) was observed for 151 all parameters of ovarian AF (Table 1). In the main factor, does having AFC >3 follicles (AFC II) on Day 0 152 showed a significantly increased (p = 0.0001) population of small AF on Day 7 (Table 1). The large AF and the 153 total population of AF on the day of fixed-time AI were greater (p = 0.0217 and p = 0.0001, respectively) in 154 does having AFC >3 follicles (group II) than in does having AFC \leq 3 follicles (group I) on Day 0 (Table 1). On 155 Day 7, there were no differences in the diameters of AF (p = 0.0639) and the largest AF (p = 0.7973) between 156 does in AFC I (AFC ≤3 follicles) and AFC II (AFC >3 follicles) (Table 1). Moreover, no CL status on Day 0 157 was affected (p > 0.0500) on all parameters of ovarian AF at the time of fixed-time AI (Table 1).

158

159 The influence of AFC and CL at the time of synchronization on ovarian response to stimulation

Based on the emergence of the large POFs (>4 mm) on Day 7, the responsive rate was higher (p = 0.0370) in does having AFC >3 follicles (AFC II) at the time of synchronization than in does having AFC \leq 3 follicles (AFC I) on their ovaries (91.23% vs. 77.46%; Fig. 2). In the CL group, the ovarian responsive rate did not differ (p = 0.7750) between CL+ (85.71%) and CL- (83.18%) does (Fig. 2). Moreover, a comparison of the ovarian responsive rate among does in group I (AFC I × CL+; 80.00%), II (AFC I × CL-; 77.05%), III (AFC II × CL+; 90.91%), and IV (AFC II × CL-; 91.30%) did not statistically significant difference (p > 0.0500; Fig. 2).

166

Follicle population at the time of synchronization and at the time of fixed-time AI in ovarian responsiveand non-responsive does

169 Regardless of AFC and CL groups, ovarian responsive does had a greater number of small AF (p = 0.0078) and

- 170 a total number of AF (p = 0.0009) at the time of synchronization (on Day 0) than those in non-responsive does
- 171 (Table 2). The number of large AF (p = 0.6729) and sizes of AF (p = 0.4161) and the largest AF (p = 0.8491) on
- 172 Day 0 did not differ between responsive and non-responsive groups (Table 2).

173 Regardless of AFC and CL groups, responsive does had a greater total number of AF (p = 0.0001), and a 174 greater size of AF (p = 0.0001) and the largest AF (p = 0.0001) on Day 7 than non-responsive does (Table 2). 175 On Day 7, compared with the responsive group, non-responsive does showed a greater (p = 0.0087) number of 176 small AF (Table 2).

177

178 The influence of AFC and CL at the time of synchronization on reproductive parameters and fertility

In the AFC group, the pregnancy rate of AFC I group (30.99%) was similar to that of the AFC II group (33.33%) (p = 0.7780; Fig. 3A). In the CL group, the pregnancy rate of CL+ does (33.33%) was similar to that of CL- does (31.78%) (p = 0.8890; Fig. 3A). Moreover, the pregnancy rate was also similar to that of does in group I (AFC I × CL+; 30.00%), II (AFC I × CL-; 31.15%), III (AFC II × CL+; 36.36%), and IV (AFC II × 183 CL-; 32.61%) (p > 0.0500; Fig. 3A).

In the AFC group, the kidding rate did not differ (p = 0.2130) between the AFC I (81.82%) and AFC II (94.74%) groups (Fig. 3B). In the CL group, the kidding rate did not differ (p = 0.8550) between CL+ (85.71%) and CL- (88.24%) does (Fig. 3B). Moreover, the kidding rate was similar (p > 0.0500) among does in group I (AFC I × CL+; 66.67%), II (AFC I × CL-; 84.21%), III (AFC II × CL+; 100.00%), and IV (AFC II × CL-; 93.33%) (Fig. 3B).

Interestingly, does with AFC \leq 3 follicles (AFC I) at the time of synchronization (on Day 0) had a higher single kidding rate (p = 0.0470; Fig. 2C) than does with AFC >3 follicles (AFC II) on their ovaries (72.22% vs. 38.89%; Fig. 3C). In the CL group, the single kidding rate did not differ (p = 0.2370) between CL+ (33.33%) and CL- (60.00%) does (Fig. 3C). Moreover, the does in group I (AFC I × CL+; 50.00%), II (AFC I × CL-; 75.00%), III (AFC II × CL+; 25.00%), and IV (AFC II × CL-; 42.86%) (Fig. 3C) had a similar single kidding rate (p > 0.0500).

In the AFC group, the twin kidding rate did not differ (p = 0.3050) between the AFC I (27.78%) and AFC II (44.44%) groups (Fig. 3D). In the CL group, the twin kidding rate did not differ (p = 0.4440) between CL+ (50.00%) and CL– (33.33%) does (Fig. 3D). Moreover, the twin kidding rate (p > 0.0500) was similar among does in group I (AFC I × CL+; 50.00%), II (AFC I × CL–; 25.00%), III (AFC II × CL+; 50.00%), and IV (AFC II × CL–; 42.86%) (Fig. 3D).

200 In the AFC group, the triplet kidding rate did not differ (p = 0.0740) between the AFC I (0.00%) and AFC 201 II (16.67%) groups (Fig. 3E). In the CL group, CL presence (CL+) and CL absence (CL-) did not significantly

- affect (p = 0.4250) the triplet kidding rate (16.67% vs. 6.67%, respectively; Fig. 3E). Likewise, we found no
- effect (p > 0.0500) of factor combination on the triplet kidding rate of does in group I (AFC I × CL+; 0.00%)
- and IV (AFC II × CL-; 14.29%) (Fig. 3E). However, does in group III (AFC II × CL+; 25.00%) had a higher
- triplet kidding rate (p = 0.0460) than does in group II (AFC I × CL-; 0.00%) (Fig. 3E).
- Interestingly, compared to does with AFC \leq 3 follicles (AFC I), does with AFC >3 follicles (AFC II) at the time of synchronization (on Day 0) showed significantly (p = 0.0470) increased multiple kidding rate (61.11% vs. 27.78%; Fig. 3F). In the CL group, the multiple kidding rate did not differ (p = 0.2370) between CL+ (66.67%) and CL– (40.00%) does (Fig. 3F). Moreover, no difference in the multiple kidding rate (p > 0.0500) was detected among does in group I (AFC I × CL+; 50.00%), II (AFC I × CL-; 25.00%), III (AFC II × CL+; 75.00%), and IV (AFC II × CL-; 57.14%) (Fig. 3F).
- Additionally, does with AFC >3 follicles (AFC II) at the time of synchronization (on Day 0) had a greater fertility (p = 0.0217) than does with AFC ≤3 follicles (AFC I) on their ovaries (1.78 ± 0.17 kids vs. 1.28 ± 0.11 kids; Fig. 3G). In the CL group, fertility did not differ (p = 0.1964) between CL+ (1.83 ± 0.31 kids) and CL-(1.47 ± 0.11 kids) does (Fig. 3G). Fertility was not different (p > 0.0500) among does in group I (AFC I × CL+; 1.50 ± 0.50 kids), II (AFC I × CL-; 1.25 ± 0.11 kids), III (AFC II × CL+; 2.00 ± 0.41 kids), and IV (AFC II × CL-; 1.71 ± 0.19 kids) (Fig. 3G).
- 218

Important factors of follicular characteristics and CL presence at the time of synchronization contributing to follicular response and multiple kidding rate

- Interestingly, the likelihood of follicular response to hormonal synchronization in does was higher (OR = 3.03, p = 0.0370) with greater AFC at the time of synchronization (on Day 0) (Table 3). The presence of CL (OR = 0.82, p = 0.7750), numbers of small AF (OR = 2.55, p = 0.0670) and large AF (OR = 0.86, p = 0.8060), and diameters of AF (OR = 0.54, p = 0.2050) and the largest AF (OR = 1.36, p = 0.5250) at the time of synchronization were not associated with ovarian response to hormonal synchronization (Table 3).
- Moreover, the multiple kidding rate in does was higher (OR = 4.09, p = 0.0470) among does with greater AFC on Day 0 (Table 4). The presence of CL (OR = 0.33, p = 0.2370), numbers of small AF (OR = 1.86, p = 0.3710) and large AF (OR = 4.09, p = 0.1140), and diameters of AF (OR = 0.54, p = 0.3710) and the largest AF (OR = 1.11, p = 0.8800) at the time of synchronization stimulation were not associated with multiple kidding rate (Table 4).

231 **DISCUSSION**

232 In the current study, the impacts of AFC and CL presence on ovarian response to hormonal stimulation and 233 fertility potential were discovered in inseminated does. To the best of our ability, the present research is the first 234 to explore whether the different number of AF (≥ 2 mm) at the time of synchronization reflects the oocyte-235 containing follicle supply related to production of multiple large-sized follicles after hormonal synchronization, 236 and the subsequent enhancement of fertility (litter size) in primiparous does. The likelihood of ovarian response 237 to synchronization increased by 3.03 times in does with a greater total number of AF (AFC >3 follicles) at the 238 time of synchronization. However, it should be noted that the presence (luteal status) or absence (follicular 239 status) of ovarian CL at the time of synchronization did not affect the results of ovarian follicular response to 240 hormonal stimulation. In ruminants, increased ovarian reserve due to genetic selection has been reported to 241 contribute to increased reproductive capacity, which AFC (direct evaluation) and blood level of anti-Müllerian 242 hormone (indirect evaluation) have been extensively investigated as phenotypic biomarkers of ovarian reserve 243 [17,18]. Although the evaluation of AFC has been offered as a tool for indicating better ovarian reserve in 244 mono-ovulatory large ruminants, studies regarding the application of AFC for evaluating ovarian response to 245 hormonal synchronization and fertility potential in does are limited. In the current study, the assessment of 246 ovarian AF population and counting number of ovarian AF as AFC at the time of synchronization are valuable 247 as an alternative indicator for the prediction of ovarian response to stimulation and fertility in inseminated does. 248 Responsive does to hormonal stimulation also had a greater population of ovarian AF at the time of 249 synchronization than non-responsive does. Similar to our findings, other studies emphasize that high AFC is an 250 important indicator to select the sheep with high genetic merit for predictable potential of high ovarian response 251 to hormonal stimulation [19]. The numerically greater population of AF at the onset of the hormonal 252 synchronization and subsequent higher population of large AF at the onset of fixed-time AI were as expected. 253 To explore the possible importance of oocyte-containing follicles in identifying the potential of high responder 254 donor goats, a cohort of small AF was synchronized, and it became clear that the population of small AF was 255 positively associated with the superovulatory response [14]. With respect to ewes, a greater number of ovarian 256 AF at the beginning of hormonal administrations can influence directly in the response to multiple ovulation 257 stimulations [20]. Together, these data emphasize the importance of synchronizing a pool of emerging AF (≥ 2 258 mm) in does and ewes when performing multiple ovulation stimulations [14,20]. Under the exogenous hormonal 259 control of preovulatory wave emergence and AI in goats, the follicular reserve status prior to starting synthetic

260 P4 trigger is also very important [21,22]. On the day of exogenous hormonal administration (synchronization), 261 the use of synthetic P4 can promote the destruction of previous dominant follicles (DFs) [22] and subsequently a 262 cohort of AF (2-3 mm) emerges that continues directly to grow and differentiate to become a single or multiple 263 POF [22-24]. This suggests AF emerging or growing from a pool of growing AF on ovaries, which highlights 264 the importance of AFC (≥ 2 mm) at the time of synchronization. In the present study, compared with does having 265 AFC ≤ 3 follicles (1.69 \pm 0.09 follicles), does having AFC ≥ 3 follicles (≥ 2 mm) with 4.02 \pm 0.19 follicles of 266 small AF (2–4 mm) at the time of synchronization produced greater large AF (>4 mm) (2.06 ± 0.13 follicles) on 267 Day 7. Supporting the current study, previous research has revealed that the appearance of a greater population 268 of co-DFs (the presence of two or more large AF in each follicular wave) in poly-ovulatory goats resulted in the 269 population of small AF being counted, as more gonadotrophin-responsive AF within a cohort of small AF 270 tended to proceed to large sizes [25]. In fact, the population of co-DFs in the ovulatory follicular wave is usually 271 associated with the number of ovulations in poly-ovulatory goats [25]. Synchronized ovulatory does had 272 increased the number of co-DFs at the time of finishing the hormonal stimulation compared with non-273 synchronized does [26]. Moreover, the number of small AF is a mechanism in regulating the number of ovulated 274 oocyte-containing follicles and in contributing the ovulation rate and timing of ovulation in does [27]. Thus, it is 275 quite possible that AFC at the time of synchronization is closely related to the population of future large AF and 276 subsequently increased the number of ovulations in poly-ovulatory goats.

277 Interestingly, does having AFC >3 follicles (≥ 2 mm) at the time of synchronization produced greater large 278 AF and greater fertility (1.78 \pm 0.17 kids) as compared to does having AFC \leq 3 follicles (1.28 \pm 0.11 kids) 279 submitted to fixed-time AI. The likelihood of multiple kidding increased by 4.09 times in does with a greater 280 total number of AF (AFC >3 follicles) at the time of synchronization. This implies that AFC at the time of 281 synchronization is closely related to the fertility potential in poly-ovulatory goats. Typically, a greater number of 282 ovulations results in an increase in the litter size (fertility) in sheep and goats [28]. Although the ovulation of 283 large AF was not assessed in the current trial, we suppose, based on earlier findings, that the incidence of high-284 ovulation rate in high-fecundity sheep is a raised dynamic reserve, resulting in a greater population of AF usable 285 for selection into the ovulatory pool [29-31]. As stated above, our results support the results of previous 286 investigators who have indicated that greater ovulation numbers and fertility (litter size) in poly-ovulatory ewes 287 are demonstrated by the previous appearance of more massive AF on their ovaries [32]. In goat models, the 288 presence of more AF per ovarian tissue and differential expression of intra-ovarian factors may be potential

regulators of greater fertility in does [33]. In order to understand the underlying importance population of AF prior to hormonal trigger, melatonin was implanted into goats prior to the onset of the P4-eCG protocol, and it was found that a rise in the populations of AF (2–<5 mm) tended to be maximum numbers at the time of exogenous P4 synchronization, which resulted in an increase in fertility [34]. Together, our findings imply that does having AFC >3 follicles at the time of synchronization develop a greater population of larger AF, suggesting an increase in the development of multiple POFs after completion of the hormonal stimulation period, and promotion of an increased litter size when performing hormonal synchronization for fixed-time AI.

297 CONCLUSION

A greater number of AF (AFC >3 follicles) at the time of synchronization can promote not only ovarian response to hormonal stimulation but also fertility in primiparous does following the fixed-time AI program. In the end, ultrasonographic evaluation of AFC is an easy-to-achieve procedure and AFC at the time of synchronization had the potential to be used as an alternative indicator for the prediction of ovarian response to hormonal synchronization and fertility in inseminated does.

303

305 **REFERENCES**

- Lee JY, Jung YG, Seo BB. Effects of culture media conditions on production of eggs fertilized in vitro of embryos derived from ovary of high grade Hanwoo. J Anim Sci Technol. 2016;58:11. https://doi:10.1186/s40781-016-0093-5.
- Park KM, Kim KJ, Jin M, Han Y, So KH, Hyun SH. The use of pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide in the pre-maturation system improves in vitro developmental competence from small follicles of porcine oocytes. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci. 2019;32:1844-53. https://doi:10.5713/ajas.19.0162.
- 3. Nagai K, Yanagawa Y, Katagiri S, Nagano M. The relationship between antral follicle count in a bovine ovary and developmental competence of in vitro-grown oocytes derived from early antral follicles. Biomed Res. 2016;37:63-71. https://doi:10.2220/biomedres.37.63.
- Alward KJ, Cockrum RR, Ealy AD. Associations of antral follicle count with fertility in cattle: A review.
 JDS Commun. 2023;4:132-7. https://doi:10.3168/jdsc.2022-0283.
- Martinez MF, Sanderson N, Quirke LD, Lawrence SB, Juengel JL. Association between antral follicle
 count and reproductive measures in New Zealand lactating dairy cows maintained in a pasture-based
 production system. Theriogenology. 2016;85:466-75. https://doi:10.1016/j.theriogenology.2015.09.026.
- 6. U-Krit W, Wadsungnoen S, Yama P, Jitjumnong J, Sangkate M, Promsao N, Montha N, Sudwan P, Mektrirat R, Panatuk J, Inyawilert W, Intawicha P, Tang PC, Moonmanee T. Understanding the ovarian interrelationship with low antral follicle counts (AFC) in the in vivo *Bos indicus* cow model: unilateral and bilateral main AFC as possible biomarkers of ovarian response to hormonal synchronisation. Biology (Basel). 2022;11:523. https://doi:10.3390/biology11040523.
- Plakkot B, Mohanan A, Kanakkaparambil R. Prolificacy in small ruminants. J Dairy Vet Anim Res. 2020;9:85-90.
- Haldar A, Pal P, Datta M, Paul R, Pal SK, Majumdar D, Biswas CK, Pan S. Prolificacy and its relationship with age, body weight, parity, previous litter size and body linear type traits in meat-type goats. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci. 2014;27:628-34. https://doi:10.5713/ajas.2013.13658.
- García-Guerra A, Motta JCL, Melo LF, Kirkpatrick BW, Wiltbank MC. Ovulation rate, antral follicle count,
 and circulating anti-Müllerian hormone in Trio allele carriers, a novel high fecundity bovine genotype.
 Theriogenology. 2017;101:81-90. https://doi:10.1016/j.theriogenology.2017.05.026.
- 333 10. Garcia-Guerra A, Wiltbank MC, Battista SE, Kirkpatrick BW, Sartori R. Mechanisms regulating follicle
 334 selection in ruminants: lessons learned from multiple ovulation models. Anim Reprod. 2018;15:660-79.
 335 https://doi:10.21451/1984-3143-AR2018-0027.
- Khanthusaeng V, Navanukraw C, Moonmanee T, Thammasiri J, Boonkong S. Effect of short-term and long-term synthetic progesterone on estrous synchronization and conception rate in Thai-native goat. Chiang Mai Univ J Nat Sci. 2012;11:449-54.

- Moonmanee T, Yammuen-Art S, Mekchay S, Navanukraw C. Ovulation rate, metabolite and hormonal
 profiles of ewes in low body condition stimulated with high-energy diet during the late-luteal phase of the
 estrous cycle. J Anim Plant Sci. 2018;28:669-78.
- Kandiel MM, Watanabe G, Abdel-Ghaffar AE, Sosa GA, Abou-El Roos ME, El-Azab Ael-S, Li JY, Manabe
 N, Taya K. Ovarian follicular dynamics and hormonal changes in goats during early pregnancy. J Reprod
 Dev. 2010;56:520-6. https://doi:10.1262/jrd.09-179t.
- Balaro M, Brandão FZ, Maia A, Souza-Fabjan J, Cueto MI, Gibbons AE, Fonseca JF. Pre-selection test to identify high responder donor goats. Reprod Domest Anim. 2016;51:386-91. https://doi:10.1111/rda.12690.
- 347 15. de Sousa FC, Sousa de Melo CH, de Albuquerque Teles Filho AC, Avelar SR, de Alencar Araripe Moura A, 348 Martins JA, de Figueirêdo Freitas VJ, Teixeira DÍ. Ovarian follicular response to different hormonal 349 stimulation treatments in Canindé goats. Anim Reprod Sci. 2011;125:88-93. 350 https://doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2011.02.015.
- 351 16. Ozis Altincekic S, Koyuncu M. Reproductive performance with short-time controlled internal drug release
 352 (CIDR)-based synchronization protocol for fixed-time artificial insemination in nulliparous and
 353 primiparous Saanen goats. Pol J Vet Sci. 2022;25:13-8. https://doi.10.24425/pjvs.2022.140835.
- Juengel JL, Cushman RA, Dupont J, Fabre S, Lea RG, Martin GB, Mossa F, Pitman JL, Price CA, Smith P.
 The ovarian follicle of ruminants: the path from conceptus to adult. Reprod Fertil Dev. 2021;33:621-42. https://doi:10.1071/RD21086.
- Mossa F, Evans ACO. Review: The ovarian follicular reserve implications for fertility in ruminants.
 Animal. 2023;17:100744. https://doi:10.1016/j.animal.2023.100744.
- Brasil OO, Moreira HK, Souto PLG, da Silva CMG, Ramos AF. Ovarian assessment for
 pre-selection of embryo donor ewes. Small Rumin Res. 2022;216:106803.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2022.106803.
- 362 20. Mossa F, Duffy P, Naitana S, Lonergan P, Evans AC. Association between numbers of ovarian follicles in
 363 the first follicle wave and superovulatory response in ewes. Anim Reprod Sci. 2007;100:391-6.
 364 https://doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2006.10.016.
- Lertchunhakiat K, Navanukraw C, Thammasiri J, Jaikan W, Swannakorn A, Moonmanee T, Redmer DA.
 Evaluation of protocols based on synthetic progesterone and gonadotropin on estrus and ovulatory response in Thai-native goats. J Anim Vet Adv. 2012;11:3385-9. https://doi:10.3923/javaa.2012.3385.3389.
- 368 22. Simões J. Recent advances on synchronization of ovulation in goats, out of season, for a more sustainable production. Asian Pac J Reprod. 2015;4:157-65. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2305-0500(15)30014-2.
- Rubianes E, Menchaca A. The pattern and manipulation of ovarian follicular growth in goats. Anim Reprod
 Sci. 2003;78:271-87. https://doi:10.1016/s0378-4320(03)00095-2.

- Kandiel MM, Watanabe G, Abdel-Ghaffar AE, Sosa GA, Abou-El Roos ME, El-Azab Ael-S, Li JY, Manabe
 N, Taya K. Ovarian follicular dynamics and hormonal changes in goats during early pregnancy. J Reprod
 Dev. 2010;56:520-6. https://doi:10.1262/jrd.09-179t.
- 375
 25. Nogueira DM, Cavalierib J, Gummow B, Parker AJ. Comparison of follicular dynamics and hormone
 profiles in Boer goats examined during the breeding and non-breeding seasons in the tropics of Queensland,
 Australia. Small Rumin Res. 2015;125: 93-100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2015.02.014.
- 378
 378
 379
 36. Nogueira DM, Cavalieri J, Fitzpatrick LA, Gummow B, Blache D, Parker AJ. Effect of hormonal synchronisation and/or short-term supplementation with maize on follicular dynamics and hormone profiles in goats during the non-breeding season. Anim Reprod Sci. 2016 Aug;171:87-97. https://doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2016.06.003.
- Zou X, Lu T, Zhao Z, Liu G, Lian Z, Guo Y, Sun B, Liu D, Li Y. Comprehensive analysis of mRNAs and
 miRNAs in the ovarian follicles of uniparous and multiple goats at estrus phase. BMC Genomics.
 2020;21:267. https://doi:10.1186/s12864-020-6671-4.
- 28. Notter DR. Genetic improvement of reproductive efficiency of sheep and goats. Anim Reprod Sci. 2012;130:147-51. https://doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2012.01.008.
- Baird DT, Campbell BK. Follicle selection in sheep with breed differences in ovulation rate. Mol Cell
 Endocrinol. 1998;145:89-95. https://doi:10.1016/s0303-7207(98)00174-9.
- 30. Scaramuzzi RJ, Baird DT, Campbell BK, Driancourt MA, Dupont J, Fortune JE, Gilchrist RB, Martin GB, McNatty KP, McNeilly AS, Monget P, Monniaux D, Viñoles C, Webb R. Regulation of folliculogenesis and the determination of ovulation rate in ruminants. Reprod Fertil Dev. 201123:444-67. https://doi:10.1071/RD09161.
- 393 31. Monniaux D. Driving folliculogenesis by the oocyte-somatic cell dialog: Lessons from genetic models.
 394 Theriogenology. 2016;86:41-53. https://doi:10.1016/j.theriogenology.2016.04.017.
- 32. Tera Dolebo A, Melesse A, Porcu C, Getachew T, Haile A, Rouatbi M, Abate Z, Zeleke M, Rischkowsky B,
 Mwacharo JM, Rekik M. Increased number of large non-atretic follicles and co-dominance effects account
 for high litter sizes in Bonga sheep. Anim Sci J. 2020;91:e13384. https://doi:10.1111/asj.13384.
- 33. Pramod RK, Sharma SK, Singhi A, Pan S, Mitra A. Differential ovarian morphometry and follicular expression of BMP15, GDF9 and BMPR1B influence the prolificacy in goat. Reprod Domest Anim. 2013;48:803-9. https://doi:10.1111/rda.12165.
- 401
 40. 34. El-Mokadem MY, El-Din ANMN, Ramadan TA, Rashad AMA, Taha TA, Samak MA. Manipulation of 402 reproductive seasonality using melatonin implantation in Anglo-Nubian does treated with controlled 403 internal drug release and equine chorionic gonadotropin during the nonbreeding season. J Dairy Sci. 404 2017;100:5028-39. https://doi:10.3168/jds.2016-12240.

405 **Tables and Figures**

406 **Table 1.** The data (mean \pm SEM) of the numbers of small AF and large AF, total number of AF, and diameters of AF and the largest AF at the time of exogenous 407 hormonal trigger (synchronization) and at the time of fixed-time AI in does having AFC \leq 3 follicles (AFC I) and with CL (CL+) (Group I), AFC \leq 3 follicles (AFC II) and 408 without CL (CL–) (Group II), AFC >3 follicles (AFC II) and with CL (CL+) (Group III), and AFC >3 follicles (AFC II) and without CL (CL–) (Group IV) on their 409 ovaries (n = 128).

Items	Factor combination			Main factor ³⁾				<i>p</i> -value ⁴⁾			
	Animal group			AFC CL			L				
	Group I	Group II	Group III	Group IV	AFC I	AFC II	With CL	Without CL	AFC	CL	$AFC \times$
	(AFC I \times CL+)	(AFC I × CL–)	(AFC II \times CL+)	(AFC II \times CL–)	(≤3 follicles)	(>3 follicles)	(CL+)	(CL-)			CL
Experimental does (n)	10	61	11	46	71	57	21	107	—	-	-
On Day 0 ¹⁾				\sim	*						
Number of small AF (2-4 mm) (follicle)	2.33 ± 0.17	1.73 ± 0.09	4.09 ± 0.16	4.00 ± 0.24	$1.82\pm0.08^{\:b}$	$4.02\pm0.19^{\:a}$	$3.30\pm0.23~^a$	$2.74\pm0.16^{\text{ b}}$	0.0001	0.0422	1.0000
Number of large AF (>4 mm) (follicle)	1.50 ± 0.50	1.29 ± 0.09	1.50 ± 0.50	1.48 ± 0.13	1.31 ± 0.09	1.44 ± 0.12	1.50 ± 0.29	1.38 ± 0.08	0.3689	0.6741	0.8345
Total number of AF (≥2 mm) (follicle)	2.40 ± 0.16	2.31 ± 0.06	4.36 ± 0.15	4.91 ± 0.19	2.32 ± 0.06 b	4.81 ± 0.16^{a}	3.43 ± 0.24	3.43 ± 0.15	0.0001	0.9949	0.0615
Diameter of AF (mm)	2.99 ± 0.22	3.61 ± 0.09	2.99 ± 0.10	3.43 ± 0.07	3.52 ± 0.09	3.34 ± 0.06	$2.99\pm0.12~^{b}$	$3.53\pm0.06~^a$	0.1181	0.0005	1.0000
Diameter of the largest AF (mm)	3.37 ± 0.21	4.21 ± 0.12	3.73 ± 0.22	4.62 ± 0.12	$4.09\pm0.11~^{b}$	4.45 ± 0.12 a	$3.56\pm0.15\ ^{b}$	$4.39\pm0.09~^a$	0.0206	0.0001	0.2316
On Day 7 ²⁾											
Number of small AF (2-4 mm) (follicle)	1.43 ± 0.20	1.42 ± 0.09	2.00 ± 0.42	2.24 ± 0.17	$1.39\pm0.08~^{b}$	2.20 ± 0.16 a	1.73 ± 0.25	1.84 ± 0.11	0.0001	0.6701	0.5756
Number of large AF (>4 mm) (follicle)	1.50 ± 0.19	1.72 ± 0.10	2.10 ± 0.31	2.05 ± 0.14	$1.69\pm0.09~^{b}$	2.06 ± 0.13 a	1.83 ± 0.24	1.88 ± 0.09	0.0217	0.8381	0.4782
Total number of AF (≥ 2 mm) (follicle)	2.20 ± 0.13	2.16 ± 0.06	3.36 ± 0.24	3.59 ± 0.18	2.17 ± 0.06 $^{\rm b}$	3.54 ± 0.15 a	2.81 ± 0.19	2.78 ± 0.11	0.0001	0.8679	0.4401
Diameter of AF (mm)	4.42 ± 0.36	4.65 ± 0.16	4.54 ± 0.28	4.21 ± 0.10	4.62 ± 0.15	4.27 ± 0.10	4.48 ± 0.22	4.46 ± 0.10	0.0639	0.9327	0.2580
Diameter of the largest AF (mm)	5.41 ± 0.41	5.39 ± 0.20	5.64 ± 0.42	5.41 ± 0.15	5.39 ± 0.18	5.45 ± 0.14	5.53 ± 0.29	5.40 ± 0.13	0.7973	0.6867	0.7659

410 AF, antral follicles; AFC, antral follicle count; CL, corpora lutea.

411 ¹⁾ Day of the initiation of hormonal synchronization for ovulation and fixed-time AI.

412 $^{2)}$ Day of the fixed-time AI.

413 ³⁾ Values with different superscript letters (^{a,b}) denote significant differences between the sub-groups of each main factor.

414 ⁴⁾ Differences were considered statistically significant at $p \le 0.0500$.

Table 2. The data (mean ± SEM) of the numbers of small AF and large AF, total number of AF, and diameters

416 of AF and the largest AF at the time of exogenous hormonal trigger (synchronization) and at the time of fixed-

417 time AI in ovarian responsive and non-responsive does	(n = 128)
---	-----------

Item	Ovarian re	Ovarian responsive group			
	Responsive does	Non-responsive does	-		
Experimental does (n)	107	21	_		
On Day 0 ¹⁾					
Number of small AF (2–4 mm) (follicle)	2.95 ± 0.16	2.21 ± 0.21	0.0078		
Number of large AF (>4 mm) (follicle)	1.36 ± 0.08	1.44 ± 0.18	0.6729		
Total number of AF (≥2 mm) (follicle)	3.59 ± 0.15	2.62 ± 0.22	0.0009		
Diameter of AF (mm)	3.42 ± 0.06	3.55 ± 0.14	0.4161		
Diameter of the largest AF (mm)	4.24 ± 0.09	4.29 ± 0.22	0.8491		
On Day 7 ²⁾					
Number of small AF (2–4 mm) (follicle)	1.71 ± 0.12	2.19 ± 0.13	0.0087		
Number of large AF (>4 mm) (follicle)	1.87 ± 0.08	_	_		
Total number of AF (≥2 mm) (follicle)	2.90 ± 0.11	2.19 ± 0.13	0.0001		
Diameter of AF (mm)	4.73 ± 0.09	3.11 ± 0.10	0.0001		
Diameter of the largest AF (mm)	5.80 ± 0.10	3.48 ± 0.12	0.0001		
AF, antral follicles.					
¹⁾ Day of the initiation of hormonal synchronization f	or ovulation and fixed-ti	me AI.			
²⁾ Day of the fixed-time AI.					
³⁾ Differences were considered statistically significan	t at $p \le 0.0500$.				

429 **Table 3.** The OR and CI for the important factors of follicular characteristics at the time of exogenous hormonal trigger (synchronization) (on Day 0) contributing to

Variable	Probability of ovarian response to hormonal stimulation					<i>p</i> -value ¹⁾
	Responsive	Non-responsive	Responsive rate	OR	95% CI	
	does (n)	does (n)	(%)			
AFC on Day 0						
AFC I (≤ 3 follicles)	55	16	77.46	Referent		
AFC II (>3 follicles)	52	5	91.23	3.03	1.07-8.58	0.0370
CL on Day 0		\mathbf{X}				
With CL (CL+)	18	3	85.71	Referent		
Without CL (CL–)	89	18	83.18	0.82	0.22-3.11	0.7750
Number of small AF (2–4 mm) (follicle) on Day 0 (median = 2 follicles)	\mathbf{V}					
≤2 follicles	53	15	77.94	Referent		
>2 follicles	54	6	90	2.55	0.94–6.93	0.0670
Number of large AF (>4 mm) (follicle) on Day 0 (median = 1 follicle)						
≤1 follicle	89	17	83.96	Referent		
>1 follicle	18	4	81.82	0.86	0.26–2.87	0.8060
Diameter of AF (mm) on Day 0 (median = 3.36 mm)						
≤3.36 mm	57	8	87.69	Referent		
>3.36 mm	50	13	79.37	0.54	0.21-1.40	0.2050
Diameter of the largest AF (mm) on Day 0 (median = 4.18 mm)						
≤4.18 mm	53	12	81.54	Referent		
>4.18 mm	54	9	85.71	1.36	0.53-3.50	0.5250

430 ovarian response in does submitted to the fixed-time AI (n = 128).

431 AF, antral follicles; AFC, antral follicle count; CI, confidence intervals; OR, odds ratio.

432 ¹⁾ Differences were considered statistically significant at $p \le 0.0500$.

433 **Table 4.** The OR and CI for the important factors of follicular characteristics at the time of exogenous hormonal trigger (synchronization) (on Day 0) contributing to

Variable		Probability of multiple kidding					<i>p</i> -value ¹⁾
		Multiple	Non-multiple	Multiple	OR	95% CI	_
	ki	dding does	kidding does	kidding rate			
		(n)	(n)	(%)			
AFC on Day $\overline{0}$							
AFC I (≤3 follicles)		5	13	27.78	Referent		
AFC II (>3 follicles)		11	7	61.11	4.09	1.02–16.41	0.0470
CL on Day 0			\mathbf{V}				
With CL (CL+)		4	2	66.67	Referent		
Without CL (CL–)		12	18	40.00	0.33	0.05 - 2.06	0.2370
Number of small AF (2-4 mm) (follicle) on Day 0 (me	edian = 2 follicles)						
≤2 follicles		8	13	38.1	Referent		
>2 follicles		8	7	53.33	1.86	0.48-7.21	0.3710
Number of large AF (>4 mm) (follicle) on Day 0 (med	lian = 1 follicle)						
≤1 follicle		11	18	37.93	Referent		
>1 follicle		5	2	71.43	4.09	0.71-23.53	0.1140
Diameter of AF (mm) on Day 0 (median = 3.36 mm)							
≤3.36 mm		8	7	53.33	Referent		
>3.36 mm		8	13	38.1	0.54	0.14-2.09	0.3710
Diameter of the largest AF (mm) on Day 0 (median =	4.18 mm)						
≤4.18 mm		6	8	42.86	Referent		
>4.18 mm		10	12	45.45	1.11	0.28-4.37	0.8800

434 multiple kidding rate in does submitted to the fixed-time AI (n = 36).

435 AF, antral follicles; AFC, antral follicle count; CI, confidence intervals; OR, odds ratio.

436 ¹⁾ Differences were considered statistically significant at $p \le 0.0500$.



Fig. 1. Study design with respect to the different number of AFC and CL status at the time of exogenous hormonal trigger (synchronization) (on Day 0) in does submitted the hormonal synchronization for ovulation and fixed-time AI. AFC, antral follicle count; AFC I, AFC ≤ 3 follicles; AFC II, AFC >3 follicles; AI, artificial insemination; CL, corpora lutea; CL+, with CL; CL–, without CL; eCG, equine chorionic gonadotrophin; GnRH, gonadotropinreleasing hormone; PGF_{2a}, prostaglandin F_{2a}; P4, progesterone.

. ,



Fig. 2. The ovarian responsive rate at the time of exogenous hormonal trigger (synchronization) and at the time of fixed-time AI in goats having AFC \leq 3 follicles (AFC I) and with CL (CL+) (Group I), AFC \leq 3 follicles (AFC II) and without CL (CL–) (Group II), AFC >3 follicles (AFC II) and with CL (CL+) (Group III), and AFC >3 follicles (AFC II) and without CL (CL–) (Group IV) on their ovaries (n = 128). Differences were considered statistically significant at *p* \leq 0.0500. AFC, antral follicle count; CL, corpora lutea.

- .





478 Fig. 3. The data of the reproductive parameters (A–F) and fertility (G) in does having AFC \leq 3 follicles (AFC I) and 479 with CL (CL+) (Group I), AFC \leq 3 follicles (AFC II) and without CL (CL–) (Group II), AFC >3 follicles (AFC II) 480 and with CL (CL+) (Group III), and AFC >3 follicles (AFC II) and without CL (CL–) (Group IV) on their ovaries (n 481 = 128). Differences were considered statistically significant at *p* \leq 0.0500. AFC, antral follicle count; CL, corpora 482 lutea.