

1
2
3**JAST (Journal of Animal Science and Technology) TITLE PAGE**

Upload this completed form to website with submission

| ARTICLE INFORMATION | Fill in information in each box below |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Article Type | Research article, Review article or Short Communication |
| Article Title (within 20 words without abbreviations) | Impact of environmental enrichment on growth, behavior, and welfare of weanling piglets from pre-weaning to 6 weeks of age |
| Running Title (within 10 words) | Environmental enrichment for suckling piglets |
| Author | Junhyung Lee ¹ , Seungmin Oh ² , Minju Kim ^{3,4} |
| Affiliation | 1University of Guelph, Department of Animal Biosciences, Guelph, ON, Canada, N1G 2W1 2Gyeongbuk Livestock Research Institute, Yeongju, 63052, Republic of Korea 3School of Animal Life Convergence Science, Hankyong National University, Ansong 17579, Korea 4Institute of Applied Humanimal Science, Hankyong National University, Ansong 17579, Korea |
| ORCID (for more information, please visit https://orcid.org) | Junhyung Lee (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7937-7817) Seungmin Oh (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8848-8028) Minju Kim (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6950-0458) |
| Competing interests | No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported. |
| Funding sources State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available. | Not applicable. |
| Acknowledgements | Not applicable. |
| Availability of data and material | Upon reasonable request, the datasets of this study can be available from the corresponding author. |
| Authors' contributions Please specify the authors' role using this form. | Conceptualization: Kim MJ. Data curation: Lee JH Formal analysis: Lee JH. Methodology: Oh SM. Software: Oh SM. Validation: Kim MJ. Investigation: Lee JH. Writing - original draft: Lee JH, Oh SM. Writing - review & editing: Lee JH, Oh SM, Kim MJ. |
| Ethics approval and consent to participate | The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Kangwon National University approved the animal care and experimental techniques utilized in this study (Ethical code: KW-220413-1). |

4

5 CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION

| For the corresponding author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints) | Fill in information in each box below |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| First name, middle initial, last name | Minju Kim |
| Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent | minjukim@hknu.ac.kr |
| Secondary Email address | |

| | |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Address | School of Animal Life Convergence Science, Hankyong National University, Ansong 17579, Korea |
| Cell phone number | +82-10-2962-7183 |
| Office phone number | 031-670-5124 |
| Fax number | |

6
7

ACCEPTED

8 **Abstract**

9 The experiment was carried out to study the effect of environmental enrichment before and after weaning
10 on the growth, behaviours, and welfare of weaning pigs. A total of 360 weaning pigs (average initial body
11 weight 6.32 ± 0.10 kg) were randomly allotted to one of the three treatments on the basis of initial body
12 weight. A completely randomized design was used to conduct this study. There were ten pigs per pen,
13 with 6 replicates for each treatment. The experimental treatments were control; WBW-1, play object
14 included one week before weaning/not included; and WBW-2, play object included two weeks before
15 weaning/not included. Weaning pigs raised under environmental enrichment treatments had greater
16 average daily gain and average daily feed intake in phase 1 and greater average daily gain and average
17 daily feed intake in phase 2 and overall than pigs reared in the control group. However, treatment and
18 interaction between treatment and play object installation did not exhibit significant differences. The
19 WBW-1 exhibited a lower body weight coefficient of variation of weaning pigs in phase 1 and phase 2
20 than weaning pigs that were raised in the control group, however, the interaction between treatment and
21 enriched environment did not show significant differences in phase 1 and 2. The incidence of diarrhea
22 was numerically reduced by enriched environment effect in early phase 1 (d 7) and there were no
23 significant differences in d 14 and d 28. Behaviour traits results showed lower agonistic behaviour,
24 including tail and ear biting by enriched environment effect in phase 1. The enriched environment
25 reduced the skin lesion score in phase 1, however, there were no significant differences in skin lesion
26 score in phase 2. The concentration of hair cortisol was reduced by enriched environment effect at the end
27 of phase 2. These findings suggest that environmental enrichment prior to the weaning process increased
28 growth, group uniformity, and reduced incidence of diarrhea, agonistic behaviour, skin lesions, and
29 concentration of hair cortisol during the post-weaning period.

30 Impact of environmental enrichment on growth, behavior, and welfare of weanling piglets from pre-
31 weaning to 6 weeks of age.

32 **Keywords:**

33 Weaning, suckling, performance, uniformity, cortisol, stress

34

35

Introduction

Once piglets are born, they are typically housed with sows during a farrowing period until they are 3–4 weeks of age (WOA). Subsequently, the weaning process occurs around the age of weaning piglets in a commercial swine production cycle [1,2]. Although this conventional production system has been used in the swine industry, alternative production systems such as enriched environments or applications for animal welfare have received considerable attention from consumers for favorable outcomes. Therefore, the provision of an enriched environment for raising weaning piglets in commercial housing is being evaluated in several ways regarding optimal pig growth. Pigs raised in an enriched environment exhibited innate behavior, that is, more exploration and foraging, instead of expressing agonistic behavior. They are used to achieve a proper growth rate compared to pigs raised under conventional housing conditions [3,4]. As a common routine practice in commercial production conditions, weaning piglets undergo the weaning process when they reach approximately 4 WOA and regroup with other piglets. This process is considered a critical point for piglet growth and behavior through a number of stressors, such as separation from the sow and sudden changes in their environment (e.g., changes in diet type, regrouping with other piglets, and housing space) [5,6]. Consequently, an abrupt weaning process is linked to increased reciprocal fighting in other piglets, elevated stress levels, and retarded growth in weaning piglets [2,7,8]. Therefore, rearing of weaning piglets has received increasing attention in the context of animal welfare. Environmental enrichment is believed to be a pivotal element in reducing stress levels and promoting robust growth in piglets. Studies have shown that enriched environmental housing conditions lead to lower levels of agonistic behavior and improved growth [3,4]. Furthermore, well-known environmental enrichment practices involve the provision of extra space or the installation of objects in piglet pens to reduce aggressive behavior and alleviate stress levels in weaned piglets.

Playing with objects may encourage the play behavior of piglets instead of fighting other piglets and is recognized as a welfare indicator [7,9]. A previous study showed that rearing piglets in an enriched environment resulted in better-socialized behavior than that in a conventional environment [4]. Furthermore, play effects such as increased locomotor activity by objects may be related to improved social play, and this novel environment may also result in a better response to confrontation phenomena in the post-weaning period [11,12]. Moreover, exposure to an enriched environment and objects early in life substantially decreased the agonistic behavior of weaning piglets [13].

Little attention has been paid to how the application of a novel environment in the pre-weaning period affects the post-weaning period. It is plausible to follow these two phases to elucidate the effects of object installation as a novel environment for rearing piglets before the start of the weaning process. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the growth, behavior, and stress response of piglets from pre-weaning to 6 weeks after weaning.

Materials and Methods

Test animals and experimental design

A total of 360 weaning pigs (LYD: average initial body weight [BW] 6.32 ± 0.10 kg) were randomly allotted to one of the three treatments on the basis of initial BW. This study used a completely randomized design (CRD). Each pen contained 10 pigs, with 6 replicates per treatment. The experimental treatments were the control (play object included/not included), play object included one week before weaning/not included (WBW-1), and play object included two weeks before weaning/not included (WBW-2). Experimental diets were provided using commercial feed products. The experimental phases were phase 1 (0–14 days post-weaning) and phase 2 (15–28 days post-weaning). All pens contained a self-feeder and nipple drinker to allow ad libitum food and water. Two experimental treatments, WBW-1 and WBW-2, were provided with play objects (spring play objects, Taewoo Livestock Co., Ltd., Seoung Ju, Korea) fixed on the floor near the self-feeder.

Growth performance

The BW of all the pigs were measured at the end of each phase. The amount of feed supplemented was measured throughout the experimental period to calculate average daily feed intake (ADFI). The average daily gain (ADG), ADFI, and gain-to-feed ratio (G/F) were calculated at the end of each phase (phase 1: day 14, phase 2: day 28, and overall: 0–28 days after weaning).

Body weight uniformity

The BW uniformity was calculated at the end of each phase (phase 1: day 14, phase 2: day 28) as the coefficient of variation (CV, %) by dividing individual BW standard deviation by the mean BW.

Diarrhea incidence

The incidence of diarrhea was measured three times (days 7, 14, and 28). The criteria for collecting data on the incidence of diarrhea were as follows: 1 = hard, dry pellets in a small, hard mass; 2 = hard, formed stool that remained firm and soft; 3 = soft, formed, and moist stool that retained its shape; 4 = soft, unformed stool that assumed the shape of the container; and 5 = watery, liquid stool that could be poured [14].

Behavior observations

Piglet behavior was recorded twice at the end of each phase (days 14 and 28) by installing cameras (FIX extreme action camera, China) above each pen. The cameras were arranged through a cable duct located at the top of the middle of each pen to record behavior over the entire area. The video was recorded for 8 h, and the recorded video files were extracted and saved on a high-capacity USB flash

106 drive for analysis. The observation days were at the end of each phase (phase 1: day 14, phase 2: day 28)
107 after weaning and included an 8-h observation period (10:00 to 18:00). Each behavior was evaluated for 8
108 h from the video, and the number of behavior observations was shown as the number in an hour [15]. The
109 criteria for analyzing the behavioral traits are presented in Table 1.

110

111 **Skin lesion scoring**

112 Evaluation of lesions on the body (ear, front, middle, hindquarter, and legs) or tail was conducted by
113 inspecting the two sides of experimental weaning pigs twice at the end of each phase (days 14 and 28)
114 after weaning [16].

115

116 **Salivary and hair cortisol concentrations**

117 Saliva and hair samples were prepared and harvested at the end of phase 2 (day 28 after weaning). To
118 collect saliva samples, medical cotton was tied with string and attached to the fence of each experimental
119 pen. After weaning, the pigs chewed the medical cotton for 5 to 10 min under fully wet conditions, and
120 the ear tag of the pig was recorded during the chewing process. A supernatant of the saliva sample (~7-8
121 mL) was prepared by centrifugation at 3000 g at 4 °C for 10 min and stored at -20 °C until analysis.
122 Salivary cortisol concentrations were measured using a commercial ELISA kit (ADI-90-071; Enzo Life
123 Sciences, Inc., NY, USA) [17]. Freshly grown hair from individual weaning pigs was collected and used
124 to analyze hair cortisol concentrations. The collected hair samples were washed three times with
125 isopropanol, followed by drying in a vacuum dryer at 35 °C, and then placed in an expanded metal lath
126 plastic tube containing steel pellets and a bead beater (tacoTMPrep, 50/60 Hz 2A, GeneReach
127 Biotechnology, Taichung, Taiwan). Hair cortisol was extracted using methanol after crushing at
128 Biotechnology Corp. (Taiwan). A cortisol ELISA kit (ADI-900-071; Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale,
129 NY, USA) was used to determine the cortisol concentrations in the extracted sample [18].

130

131 **Statistical analyses**

132 The data generated in this study were statistically analyzed using SAS (9.2; SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC,
133 USA) using the Proc general linear model procedure in a CRD. When significant differences were
134 identified among the treatment means, they were separated using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference
135 test. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

136

137

138

138 **Results**

139 **Growth performance and uniformity**

140 The effects of environmental enrichment-based object installation on growth performance are shown in
141 Table 2. Piglets raised with post-weaning object installation exhibited a greater ADG in phases 1
142 ($P=0.003$), 2 ($P=0.038$), and overall ($P<0.001$) than piglets reared without object installation. However,
143 there were no ADG difference between the treatment before weaning. The post-weaning object
144 installation increased ADFI in phases 1 ($P=0.004$), 2 ($P=0.001$), and overall ($P<0.001$), however, the pre-
145 weaning object installation was ineffective in increasing ADFI. There were no significant differences and
146 interactions in the G/F between treatment and object installation. The effect of environmental enrichment-
147 based object installation on group uniformity is shown in Table 3. Piglets reared under WBW-1 and
148 WBW-2 treatments showed a lower CV in phases 1 ($P=0.033$) and 2 ($P=0.001$). The post-weaning
149 installation of the object reduced CV in phases 1 ($P=0.006$) and 2 ($P=0.009$). However, the interaction
150 effect between the treatment and object did not show any significant difference.

151

152 **Diarrhea incidence**

153 The effects of environmental enrichment-based object installation on the incidence of diarrhea are
154 shown in Table 4. Piglets reared under the object installation conditions did not exhibit any significant
155 differences. The post-weaning object installation effect showed only a tendency ($P=0.058$) on day 7. In
156 addition, the treatment and interaction effects between treatment and object did not show any significant
157 differences.

158

159 **Behavioral traits**

160 The effects of environmental enrichment-based object installation on behavioral characteristics are
161 shown in Table 5. Pigs reared with post-weaning object installation exhibited less biting ($P<0.001$), tail
162 biting ($P=0.002$), ear biting ($P<0.001$), and aggressive behavior ($P=0.001$) than pigs reared without object
163 installation on day 14 after weaning. However, there was no effects of pre-weaning object installation on
164 behavioral factors of pigs on day 14. The treatment effect and interaction effect between treatment and
165 object installation did not result in significant differences in behavioral characteristics. On day 28 after
166 weaning, there was no significant difference between treatment, object installation, or the interaction
167 between treatment and object installation related to behavioral characteristics.

168

169 **Skin lesion score**

170 The effects of environmental enrichment-based object installation on skin lesion score are shown in
171 Table 6. Post-weaning object installation showed lower ($P=0.023$) skin lesion scores in pigs on 14 days
172 after weaning, however, there was no effects of pre-weaning object installation. The treatment and
173 interaction effects was insignificant in changing skin lesion scores on day 28 after weaning.

174

175 **Salivary and hair cortisol levels**

176 The effects of environmental enrichment-based object installation on cortisol levels in hair and saliva
177 are shown in Table 7. Post-weaning object installation showed lower ($P=0.010$) hair cortisol in pigs after
178 weaning, however, there was no effects of pre-weaning object installation and interaction in hair cortisol
179 concentration. The treatment and interaction effects was insignificant in changing saliva cortisol.
180
181
182

183 Discussion

184 Commercial weaning conditions were used to raise the piglet until 3–5 WOA. During the farrowing
185 period, piglets did not interact with any other group of piglets. Therefore, it is not possible to enhance
186 their socialization skills, and they are susceptible to various stressors, such as different forms of diet,
187 nutrition, and joining new groups. Pre-weaning practices that contact and play with an object may be an
188 appropriate provision to reduce the stress or behavioral disorders of piglets during a critical period [13,
189 19]. In this regard, play objects were installed for 1 or 2 weeks before the weaning process during the
190 farrowing period to determine the impact of object installation on growth, behavior, and responses to
191 stressors at 6 weeks after weaning.

192 WBW-1 and WBW-2 treatments and the interaction between treatments and objects resulted in better
193 growth of piglets through ADG, ADFI, and G/F. Furthermore, piglets reared under the WBW-1 treatment
194 had greater ADG and ADFI than other piglets, which is consistent with the results of previous studies.
195 Newly introduced enrichment induces greater ADG in weanling piglets [20], and this phenomenon may
196 be related to the adjustment of energy to growth instead of being disturbed by anxious behaviors (e.g.,
197 fighting and biting) [13]. In addition, a previous study reported that piglets raised in an enriched
198 environment during the pre-weaning period exhibited a lower BW loss than those raised in the
199 conventional environment, and that condition were connected to approximately 4 WOA after weaning
200 [21]. Another study observed that piglets reared in an enriched environment during the pre-weaning
201 period showed a more sustained increase in weight gain even after weaning [22]. However, environmental
202 enrichment had no effect on the growth of piglets from farrowing to 20 WOA [23].

203 The CV of BW uniformity was used to measure the BW range in an identical group of piglets/pigs by
204 calculating the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean. Previous studies have reported greater BW
205 variation in groups connected to the higher disadvantage of lighter pigs in growth and increment of
206 competition over milk of sows or feed intake from the pre-/post-weaning period [24,25]. The current
207 study showed a lower CV of BW of piglets raised in WBW-1 than in pigs reared in the control or WBW-2
208 until the end of the post-weaning period. Moreover, object installation resulted in a much lower CV of
209 BW compared to no object installation. Our results are consistent with those of a previous study showing
210 that litter size is related to growth and CV of BW of piglets, similar to the WBW-1 treatment, which had

211 numerically lower litter size (9.91 vs. 10.25, control vs. 10.50, WBW-2) than other treatments at weaning
212 [26]. Early exposure to an enriched environment positively impacted and lowered CV of BW, which may
213 be related to early experiences with unfamiliar objects or environments that promote socialization and
214 help average distribution of diet, which is linked to an improved piglet growth rates [27]. The variation in
215 BW is strongly related to the piglet growth rate between pre-weaning and post-weaning periods and can
216 also be influenced by various stressors [24,28]. Therefore, the results of the present study imply that
217 enriched environments before weaning can affect the maintenance of a lowered CV of BW.

218 The conspicuous effect of environmental enrichment could be linked to the acceleration of post-
219 weaning growth performance, including a lower incidence of diarrhea. The weaning process causes
220 numerous stressors owing to different forms of diet, environment, and separation issues. Therefore,
221 piglets suffer from lower feed intake or intestinal abnormalities (e.g., intestinal digestion and
222 permeability); therefore, these issues are strongly associated with a higher prevalence of diarrhea in pigs
223 [29,30]. In the present study, the WBW-2 treatment showed the lowest incidence of diarrhea until 2
224 weeks post-weaning; however, the control treatment had a lower incidence of diarrhea than the other
225 treatments at 4 weeks post-weaning. These results are consistent with those of a previous study showing
226 that environmental enrichment reduces the occurrence of diarrhea and that enrichment may be connected
227 to distraction against competition among weanling piglets [31]. Post-weaning diarrhea is linked to a
228 number of stressors, such as different diet types (milk to solid feed) or inflammatory pathogens including
229 *Escherichia coli*, *Clostridium*, *campylobacter* spp.), lowering feed intake of piglets [32-34]. The present
230 results correlate with the growth performance results of the present study; thus, we may conclude that
231 enriched environments have a positive influence on reducing the incidence of diarrhea.

232 Differences in behavior (e.g., the degree of aggression and biting) can be utilized to infer animal
233 welfare. A conventional barren environment induces more aggressive behaviors in piglets than enriched
234 housing conditions when rearing piglets. Moreover, the commercial early post-weaning period has a
235 higher probability of producing aggressive behavior by the weaning process. This study exhibited a
236 significantly reduced frequency of biting (e.g., upper part of body and legs), including tail and ear biting,
237 and aggressive behavior by weaned piglets in the enriched environment treatments (WBW-1 and WBW-
238 2) compared to the control treatment during the early post-weaning period. Moreover, object installation
239 as enriched housing in the present study had a greater impact on reducing aggressive behavior 2 weeks
240 before the weaning process. Similar to the current results, a previous study reported that piglets raised in
241 enriched housing showed more inquisitive behavior with object play (e.g., chewing and sniffing) than
242 those raised in traditional commercial housing [4]. In terms of this behavior, exploring another
243 environment may be related to the piglet's extrinsic (e.g., foraging) or intrinsic (e.g., accumulation of new
244 information) instincts, which could reduce the time spent engaging in reciprocal agonistic interactions
245 with other piglets [10]. Moreover, piglets spent more time on the object in the daytime [13], and pigs
246 exposed to an object maintained their memory of that object [9]. Thus, the present results indicate that the

247 installation of enriched housing was helpful in elucidating the relationship between exposure to enriched
248 housing before weaning and lower levels of agonistic behavior during the post-weaning period.

249 Skin lesion scoring is an important tool for evaluating aggression levels in pigs. To collect lesion scores,
250 the body regions of pigs were classified into three regions, including the front (i.e., head, neck, front legs,
251 and shoulders), middle (flanks and back), and rear (rump, hind legs, and tail), to elucidate the levels of
252 reciprocal aggressive behavior in pigs [36]. The accumulation of lesion scores in these regions could
253 indicate the degree of aggressive behavior among piglets during the weaning period. In the present study,
254 the number of lesions was reduced by object installation as an enriched condition, indicating that the
255 proportion of direct relationships with play objects before the weaning process may reduce the reciprocal
256 agonistic behavior of piglets. These results are consistent with a previous study in which the enrichment
257 environment group exhibited significantly reduced agonistic behavior among piglets [4]. Moreover,
258 aggressive behavior is strongly linked to social hierarchy, as an increase in the number of lesions was
259 found during the first week of the post-weaning period [7,13]. However, in contrast to the present study,
260 another study assumed that early exposure to play objects did not exhibit a connection to agonistic
261 behavior among weaning piglets, which may be related to their inevitable instinct as a form of hierarchy
262 against newly comingled piglets [37]. According to literature reviews (announcements are still
263 controversial in decision-making), but play objects may have an impact on reducing the incidence of
264 agonistic behaviors during the early post-weaning period.

265 Assessing cortisol concentrations in the saliva or hair is widely used to quantify chronic stress
266 responses and subsequently determine animal welfare in livestock [23,38-40]. As one of the released
267 glucocorticoids, cortisol induced by the adrenal glands circulates within the blood flow owing to the
268 adrenocorticotropic hormone. When animals are confronted with stress, the hypothalamic-pituitary-
269 adrenal axis is triggered to emit this hormone throughout the body. For instance, weaning piglets initiate
270 the release of cortisol during the weaning process, such as relocation to other groups of piglets, as a
271 chronic stress response [7,41]. In the current study, enriched housing reduced the cortisol concentration in
272 hair, and early exposure to playing objects resulted in better responses to stressors. These results are
273 similar to those of a previous study in which pigs displayed lower cortisol levels when they played with
274 an object after weaning [13]. Reduced agonistic behavior is attributed to richer environment housing,
275 which may indicate a correlation between environment enrichment and cortisol levels in response to
276 stressors [19]. However, salivary cortisol levels in the present study did not exhibit significant differences
277 in enriched housing, in contrast to previous studies [7]. It is plausible that enriched housing may
278 contribute to reducing hair or salivary cortisol levels; however, further evaluation is required to refine a
279 proper method to assess cortisol levels related to the enriched environment.

280 **Conclusion**

281 The present results show that the provision of an enriched environment had a positive impact on growth
282 performance (e.g., ADG, ADFI, and G/F ratio), lower CV of BW, diarrhea incidence, agonistic behavior,

283 skin lesion score, and hair cortisol level, suggesting that exposure to play objects before weaning as an
284 enriched environment promotes the growth and welfare of piglets. Therefore, further research is required
285 to determine the potential benefits of environmental enrichment in rearing piglets until market weight is
286 reached.

287

288

ACCEPTED

References

- 290 1. Lee SH, Hosseindoust AR, Kim JS, Choi YH, Lee JH, Kwon IK, Chae BJ. Bacteriophages as a
291 promising anti-pathogenic option in creep-feed for suckling piglets: Targeted to control *Clostridium*
292 spp. and coliforms faecal shedding. *Livest Sci.* 2016;191:161-4.
293 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2016.08.003>
- 294 2. Hosseindoust AR, Lee, SH., Kim JS., Choi YH., Kwon IK, Chae BJ. Productive performance of
295 weanling piglets was improved by administration of a mixture of bacteriophages, targeted to control
296 Coliforms and *Clostridium* spp. shedding in a challenging environment. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr.*
297 2017; 101(5):98-107. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.12567>
- 298 3. Beattie VE, O'connell NE, Moss BW. Influence of environmental enrichment on the behaviour,
299 performance and meat quality of domestic pigs. *Livest Prod Sci.* 2000;65(1-2):71-79.
300 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226\(99\)00179-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226(99)00179-7)
- 301 4. Choi Y, Hosseindoust A, Ha SH, Kim J, Min Y, Jeong Y, Mun J, Sa S, Kim J. Effects of dietary
302 supplementation of bacteriophage cocktail on health status of weanling pigs in a non-sanitary
303 environment. *J Animal Sci Biotechnol.* 2023;14(1):1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-023-00869-6>
- 304 5. Friend TH, Knabe DA, Tanskley Jr TD. Behavior and performance of pigs grouped by three
305 different methods at weaning. *J Anim Sci.* 1983;57(6):1406-1411.
306 <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1983.5761406x>
- 307 6. Algers B, Jensen P, Steinwall L. Behaviour and weight changes at weaning and regrouping of pigs in
308 relation to teat quality. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 1990;26(1-2):143-155.
309 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1591\(90\)90094-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1591(90)90094-T)
- 310 7. Grimberg-Henrici CG, Vermaak P, Elizabeth Bolhuis J, Nordquist RE, van der Staay FJ. Effects of
311 environmental enrichment on cognitive performance of pigs in a spatial holeboard discrimination
312 task. *Anim Cogn.* 2016;19:271-283. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10071-015-0932-7>
- 313 8. Campbell JM, Crenshaw JD, Polo J. The biological stress of early weaned piglets. *Journal of animal*
314 *science and biotechnology*, 2013;4(1):19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2049-1891-4-19>
- 315 9. Gifford AK, Cloutier S, Newberry RC. Objects as enrichment: Effects of object exposure time and
316 delay interval on object recognition memory of the domestic pig. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.*
317 2007;107(3-4):206-217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2006.10.019>
- 318 10. Dawkins MS. From an animal's point of view: motivation, fitness, and animal welfare. *Behav Brain*
319 *Sci.* 1990;13(1):1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X00077104>
- 320 11. Bekoff M. Social play behavior. *Biosci.* 1984;34(4):228-233. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1309460>

- 321 12. Spinka M, Newberry RC, Bekoff M. Mammalian play: training for the unexpected. *Q Rev Biol.*
322 2001;76(2):141-168. <https://doi.org/10.1086-393866>
- 323 13. Godyń D, Nowicki J, Herbut P. Effects of environmental enrichment on pig welfare—a review.
324 *Animals.* 2019;9(6):383. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9060383>
- 325 14. Kim, TG, Kim MJ, Lee JH, Moturi J, Ha SH, Tajudeen H, Mun JY, Hosseindoust A, Chae BJ.
326 Supplementation of nano-zinc in lower doses as an alternative to pharmacological doses of ZnO in
327 weanling pigs. *J Anim Sci Technol.* 2002;64(1):70. <https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2022.e2>
- 328 15. Oh S, Hosseindoust A, Ha S, Moturi J, Mun J, Tajudeen H, Kim J. Metabolic responses of dietary
329 fiber during heat stress: effects on reproductive performance and stress level of gestating sows.
330 *Metabolites.* 2022;12(4):280. <https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo12040280>
- 331 16. Fu L, Li H, Liang T, Zhou B, Chu Q, Schinckel AP, Yang X, Zhao R, Huang R. Stocking density
332 affects welfare indicators of growing pigs of different group sizes after regrouping. *Appl Anim
333 Behav Sci.* 2016;174:42-50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2015.10.002>
- 334 17. Nejad JG, Ataallahi M, Park KH. Methodological validation of measuring Hanwoo hair cortisol
335 concentration using bead beater and surgical scissors. *J Anim Sci Technol.* 2019;61(1):41.
336 <https://doi:10.5187/jast.2019.61.1.41>
- 337 18. Tajudeen H., Moturi J, Hosseindoust A, Ha SH, Mun JY, Choi YH, Sa SJ, Kim JS. Effects of
338 various cooling methods and drinking water temperatures on reproductive performance and behavior
339 in heat stressed sows. *J Anim Sci Technol.* 2022;64(4):782-791.
340 <https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2022.e33>
- 341 19. Telkänranta H, Swan K, Hirvonen H, Valros A. Chewable materials before weaning reduce tail biting
342 in growing pigs. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 2014;157:14-22.
343 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2014.01.004>
- 344 20. Schaefer AL, Salomons MO, Tong AKW, Sather AP, Lepage P. The effect of environment
345 enrichment on aggression in newly weaned pigs. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 1990;27(1-2):41-52.
346 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1591\(90\)90006-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1591(90)90006-Y)
- 347 21. Brajon S, Ringgenberg N, Torrey S, Bergeron R, Devillers N. Impact of prenatal stress and
348 environmental enrichment prior to weaning on activity and social behaviour of piglets (*Sus scrofa*).
349 *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 2017;197:15-23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2017.09.005>
- 350 22. Ko HL, López-Vergé S, Chong Q, Gasa J, Manteca X, Llonch P. Prewaning socialization and
351 environmental enrichment affect short-term performance after regrouping in commercially reared
352 pigs. *Animal.* 2021;15(2):100115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2020.100115>

- 353 23. Beattie VE, Walker N, Sneddon IA. Effects of environmental enrichment on behaviour and
354 productivity of growing pigs. *Anim Welf.* 1995;4(3):207-220.
355 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0962728600017802>
- 356 24. Botermans JA, Georgsson L, Weström BR, Olsson AC, Svendsen J. Effect of feeding environment
357 on performance, injuries, plasma cortisol and behaviour in growing-finishing pigs: Studies on
358 individual pigs housed in groups. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A-Animal Science.*
359 2000;50(4):250-262. <https://doi.org/10.1080/090647000750069449>
- 360 25. Georgsson L, Svendsen J. Degree of competition at feeding differentially affects behavior and
361 performance of group-housed growing-finishing pigs of different relative weights. *J Anim Sci.*
362 2002;80(2):376-383. <https://doi.org/10.2527/2002.802376x>
- 363 26. Beaulieu AD, Aalhus JL, Williams NH, Patience JF. Impact of piglet birth weight, birth order, and
364 litter size on subsequent growth performance, carcass quality, muscle composition, and eating
365 quality of pork. *J Anim Sci.* 2010;88(8):2767-2778. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2009-2222>
- 366 27. Ji W, Bi Y, Cheng Z, Liu R, Zhang X, Shu Y, Li X, Bao J, Liu H. Impact of early socialization
367 environment on social behavior, physiology and growth performance of weaned piglets. *Appl Anim
368 Behav Sci.* 2021;238:105314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2021.105314>
- 369 28. Quiniou N, Dagorn J, Gaudré D. Variation of piglets' birth weight and consequences on subsequent
370 performance. *Livest Prod Sci.* 2002;78(1):63-70. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226\(02\)00181-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226(02)00181-1)
- 371 29. Choi Y, Hosseindoust A, Ha SH, Kim J, Min Y, Jeong Y, Mun J, Sa S, Kim J. Effects of dietary
372 supplementation of bacteriophage cocktail on health status of weanling pigs in a non-sanitary
373 environment. *J Animal Sci Biotechnol.* 2023;14(1):1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-023-00869-6>
- 374 30. Lee J, Hosseindoust A, Kim M, Kim K, Choi Y, Moturi J, Song C, Lee S, Cho H, Chae B. Effects of
375 hot melt extrusion processed nano-iron on growth performance, blood composition, and iron
376 bioavailability in weanling pigs. *J Anim Sci Technol.* 2019;61(4):216.
- 377 31. Fraser D, Phillips PA, Thompson BK, Tennessen T. Effect of straw on the behaviour of growing
378 pigs. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 1991;30(3-4):307-318. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1591\(91\)90135-K](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1591(91)90135-K)
- 379 32. Hosseindoust AR, Lee SH, Kim JS, Choi YH, Noh HS, Lee JH, Jha PK, Kwon IK, Chae BJ. Dietary
380 bacteriophages as an alternative for zinc oxide or organic acids to control diarrhoea and improve the
381 performance of weanling piglets. *Vet Med.* 2017 Feb 13;62(2):53-61.
382 <https://doi.org/10.17221/7/2016-VETMED>
- 383 33. Madec F, Bridoux N, Bounaix S, Cariolet R, Duval-Iflah Y, Hampson DJ, Jestin A. Experimental
384 models of porcine post-weaning colibacillosis and their relationship to post-weaning diarrhoea and
385 digestive disorders as encountered in the field. *Vet Microbiol.* 2000;72(3-4):295-310.
386 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1135\(99\)00202-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1135(99)00202-3)

- 387 34. Ruiz VL, Bersano JG., Carvalho AF, Catroxo MH, Chiebao DP, Gregori F, Miyashiro S, Nassar
388 AFC, Oliveira TMFS, Ogata RA, Scarcelli EP, Toniatti PO. Case-control study of pathogens
389 involved in piglet diarrhea. *BMC Res Notes*. 2016;9(1):1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-015-1751-2>
390
- 391 36. Turner SP, Farnworth MJ, White IM, Brotherstone S, Mendl M, Knap P, Penny P, Lawrence AB.
392 The accumulation of skin lesions and their use as a predictor of individual aggressiveness in pigs.
393 *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2006;96(3-4):245-259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2005.06.009>
- 394 37. Meese GB, Ewbank R. The establishment and nature of the dominance hierarchy in the domesticated
395 pig. *Anim Behav*. 1973;21(2):326-334. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472\(73\)80074-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(73)80074-0)
- 396 38. Nejad JG, Ghaseminezhad M, Sung K, Hoseinzadeh F, Cabibi J, Lee J. A cortisol study; facial hair
397 and nails. *J Steroids Horm Sci*. 2016;7(2):177. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7536.1000177>
- 398 39. Ataallahi M, Nejad JG, Park KH. Selection of appropriate biomatrices for studies of chronic stress in
399 animals: A review. *J Anim Sci Technol*. 2022;64(4):621. <https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2022.e38>
- 400 40. Mendl M, Zanella AJ, Broom DM. Physiological and reproductive correlates of behavioural
401 strategies in female domestic pigs. *Anim Behav*. 1992;44(6):1107-1121.
402 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472\(05\)80323-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(05)80323-9)
- 403 41. Mormède P, Andanson S, Aupérin B, Beerda B, Guémené D, Malmkvist J, Manteca X, Manteufel G,
404 Prunet P, Reenen CGV, Richard S, Veissier I. Exploration of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal
405 function as a tool to evaluate animal welfare. *Physiol Behav*. 2007;92(3):317-339.
406 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2006.12.003>

Tables and Figures

Table 1. Ethogram used for the behavioral observations

| Behavior | Definition |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Nosing | Nosing another part of the body of a penmate |
| Biting | Biting on substrates in pens |
| Mounting | Standing on hind legs while having front legs on another pig's back (not the sows) |
| Tail biting | A pig chews, sucks or plays with another's ears |
| Ear biting | A pig chews, sucks or plays with another's tails. |
| Aggressive | Horizontal or vertical knocking with the head or forward thrusting with the snout toward a penmate; intense mutual/individual ramming or pushing a penmate; biting a penmate, except ear or tail |

Table 2. The effects of pre-weaning environmental enrichment-based materials on growth performance in pigs

| Before weaning (BWN) ¹ | Control | | WBW-1 | | WBW-2 | | SEM | P-value | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------------|
| After weaning (AWN) ² | X | O | X | O | X | O | | BWN | AWN | Interaction |
| Phase 1 (d 0-14) | | | | | | | | | | |
| ADG, kg | 281.92 | 304.04 | 286.59 | 335.63 | 283.28 | 322.32 | 19.87 | 0.444 | 0.003 | 0.630 |
| ADFI, kg | 401.85 | 436.22 | 413.09 | 484.37 | 410.77 | 465.58 | 29.39 | 0.363 | 0.004 | 0.677 |
| G/F | 0.70 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.01 | 0.653 | 0.934 | 0.909 |
| Phase 2 (d 15-28) | | | | | | | | | | |
| ADG, kg | 398.02 | 438.48 | 406.26 | 437.78 | 414.97 | 440.64 | 28.55 | 0.872 | 0.038 | 0.921 |
| ADFI, kg | 620.85 | 643.42 | 622.37 | 648.45 | 633.47 | 637.46 | 38.31 | 0.812 | 0.001 | 0.148 |
| G/F | 0.64 | 0.68 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.69 | 0.04 | 0.903 | 0.155 | 0.948 |
| Overall (d 0-28) | | | | | | | | | | |
| ADG, kg | 339.97 | 368.88 | 346.42 | 386.70 | 349.13 | 381.48 | 12.21 | 0.304 | <0.001 | 0.791 |
| ADFI, kg | 511.35 | 535.65 | 517.73 | 566.41 | 522.12 | 551.52 | 14.35 | 0.164 | <0.001 | 0.430 |
| G/F | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.69 | 0.02 | 0.946 | 0.093 | 0.920 |

¹Control, no environmental enrichment installation during lactation; WBW-1, environmental enrichment installation 1 week before weaning; WBW-2, environmental enrichment installation 2 weeks before weaning.

²Without (X) or with (O) object installation as environmental enrichment after weaning.

*Abbreviation: SEM, standard error of means; ADG, average daily gain; ADFI, average daily feed intake; G/F, feed efficiency.

Table 3. The effects of pre-weaning environmental enrichment-based materials on uniformity in pigs

| Before weaning (BWN) ¹ | Control | | WBW-1 | | WBW-2 | | SEM | P-value | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|---------|-------|-------------|
| | X | O | X | O | X | O | | BWN | AWN | Interaction |
| After weaning (AWN) ² | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phase 1 (d 14) | | | | | | | | | | |
| CV | 12.31 | 10.73 | 10.27 | 8.69 | 11.97 | 9.68 | 1.06 | 0.033 | 0.006 | 0.864 |
| Phase 2 (d 28) | | | | | | | | | | |
| CV | 13.24 | 12.87 | 11.12 | 8.22 | 10.96 | 9.11 | 1.05 | 0.001 | 0.009 | 0.250 |

¹Control, no environmental enrichment installation during lactation; WBW-1, environmental enrichment installation 1 week before weaning; WBW-2, environmental enrichment installation 2 weeks before weaning.

²With (O) or without (X) environmental enrichment installation.

*Abbreviation: SEM, standard error of means.

Table 4. The effects of pre-weaning environmental enrichment-based materials on diarrhea incidence in pigs

| Before weaning (BWN) ¹ | Control | | WBW-1 | | WBW-2 | | SEM | P-value | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|------|-------|------|-------|------|------|---------|-------|-------------|
| | X | O | X | O | X | O | | BWN | AWN | Interaction |
| d 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diarrhea incidence | 3.58 | 3.02 | 3.31 | 2.92 | 3.29 | 2.80 | 0.41 | 0.676 | 0.058 | 0.958 |
| d 14 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diarrhea incidence | 2.66 | 2.75 | 2.85 | 2.99 | 2.79 | 2.46 | 0.47 | 0.667 | 0.913 | 0.747 |
| d 28 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diarrhea incidence | 2.15 | 2.32 | 2.52 | 2.52 | 2.32 | 2.38 | 0.57 | 0.787 | 0.823 | 0.976 |

¹Control, no environmental enrichment installation during lactation; WBW-1, environmental enrichment installation 1 week before weaning; WBW-2, environmental enrichment installation 2 weeks before weaning.

²With (O) or without (X) environmental enrichment installation.

*Abbreviation: SEM, standard error of means.

Table 5. The effects of pre-weaning environmental enrichment-based materials on behaviour characteristics in pigs

| Before weaning (BWN) ¹ | Control | | WBW-1 | | WBW-2 | | SEM | P-value | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|---------|--------|-------------|
| After weaning (AWN) ² | X | O | X | O | X | O | | BWN | AWN | Interaction |
| D 14, number/hours | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nosing | 10.43 | 9.93 | 10.41 | 10.16 | 9.79 | 9.93 | 0.49 | 0.454 | 0.486 | 0.652 |
| Biting | 6.68 | 5.56 | 6.81 | 5.45 | 6.93 | 5.29 | 0.54 | 0.967 | <0.001 | 0.885 |
| Mounting | 0.54 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 0.47 | 0.56 | 0.60 | 0.24 | 0.934 | 0.959 | 0.834 |
| Tail biting | 4.06 | 3.16 | 3.93 | 3.20 | 3.97 | 3.14 | 0.41 | 0.982 | 0.002 | 0.960 |
| Ear biting | 2.79 | 2.18 | 2.87 | 2.04 | 2.95 | 2.12 | 0.28 | 0.918 | <0.001 | 0.809 |
| Aggressive | 2.37 | 1.81 | 2.20 | 1.79 | 2.16 | 1.56 | 0.23 | 0.404 | 0.001 | 0.844 |
| D 28, number/hours | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nosing | 5.18 | 5.52 | 5.68 | 5.27 | 4.70 | 5.39 | 0.46 | 0.428 | 0.463 | 0.253 |
| Biting | 1.39 | 1.29 | 1.29 | 1.27 | 1.31 | 1.04 | 0.55 | 0.647 | 0.374 | 0.778 |
| Mounting | 0.47 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.75 | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.22 | 0.589 | 0.568 | 0.867 |
| Tail biting | 0.54 | 0.56 | 0.77 | 0.62 | 0.54 | 0.45 | 0.19 | 0.346 | 0.543 | 0.832 |
| Ear biting | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 0.77 | 0.58 | 0.16 | 0.232 | 0.525 | 0.393 |
| Aggressive | 0.41 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.47 | 0.18 | 0.856 | 0.850 | 0.919 |

¹Control, no environmental enrichment installation during lactation; WBW-1, environmental enrichment installation 1 week before weaning; WBW-2, environmental enrichment installation 2 weeks before weaning.

²With (O) or without (X) environmental enrichment installation.

*Abbreviation: SEM, standard error of means.

Table 6. The effects of pre-weaning environmental enrichment-based materials on skin lesion score in pigs

| Before weaning (BWN) ¹ | Control | | WBW-1 | | WBW-2 | | SEM | P-value | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|---------|-------|-------------|
| | X | O | X | O | X | O | | BWN | AWN | Interaction |
| D 14 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Skin lesion score | 41.67 | 35.59 | 42.53 | 37.39 | 40.34 | 34.83 | 4.04 | 0.710 | 0.023 | 0.986 |
| D 28 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Skin lesion score | 80.41 | 78.63 | 80.87 | 79.64 | 83.48 | 79.28 | 3.31 | 0.729 | 0.219 | 0.800 |

¹Control, no environmental enrichment installation during lactation; WBW-1, environmental enrichment installation 1 week before weaning; WBW-2, environmental enrichment installation 2 weeks before weaning.

²With (O) or without (X) environmental enrichment installation.

*Abbreviation: SEM, standard error of means.

Table 7. The effects of pre-weaning environmental enrichment-based materials on cortisol in pigs

| Before weaning (BWN) ¹ | Control | | WBW-1 | | WBW-2 | | SEM | P-value | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|---------|-------|-------------|
| | X | O | X | O | X | O | | BWN | AWN | Interaction |
| After weaning (AWN) ² | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hair | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cortisol, pg/mg | 78.56 | 73.12 | 76.83 | 71.16 | 78.48 | 70.58 | 3.98 | 0.799 | 0.010 | 0.891 |
| Saliva | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cortisol, pg/mg | 5.02 | 4.65 | 4.80 | 4.65 | 4.90 | 4.46 | 0.47 | 0.896 | 0.245 | 0.906 |

¹Control, no environmental enrichment installation during lactation; WBW-1, environmental enrichment installation 1 week before weaning; WBW-2, environmental enrichment installation 2 weeks before weaning.

²With (O) or without (X) environmental enrichment installation.

*Abbreviation: SEM, standard error of means.