

1  
2  
3

# JAST (Journal of Animal Science and Technology) TITLE PAGE

Upload this completed form to website with submission

ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
<b>Article Type</b>	Research article
<b>Article Title (within 20 words without abbreviations)</b>	Effect of crating density and weather conditions during transit on preslaughter losses, physiological characteristics, and meat quality in broilers
<b>Running Title (within 10 words)</b>	Effect of pre-slaughter transport factors of broilers
<b>Author</b>	Myunghwan Yu, Elijah Ogola Oketch, Nuwan Chamara Chathuranga, Shan Randima Nawarathne, Jun Seon Hong, Venuste Maniraguha, Bernadette G. Sta. Cruz, Eunsoo Seo, Jeseok Lee, Haeun Park, Jung Min Heo
<b>Affiliation</b>	Department of Animal Science and Biotechnology, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34134, Korea.
<b>ORCID (for more information, please visit <a href="https://orcid.org">https://orcid.org</a>)</b>	Myunghwan Yu ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4479-4677">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4479-4677</a> ) Elijah Ogola Oketch ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4364-460X">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4364-460X</a> ) Nuwan Chamara Chathuranga ( <a href="http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1002-4068">http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1002-4068</a> ) Shan Randima Nawarathne ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9055-9155">https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9055-9155</a> ) Jun Seon Hong ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2142-9888">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2142-9888</a> ) Venuste Maniraguha ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5615-3036">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5615-3036</a> ) Bernadette G. Sta. Cruz ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1663-7714">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1663-7714</a> ) Eunsoo Seo ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0207-7381">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0207-7381</a> ) Jeseok Lee ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6829-029X">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6829-029X</a> ) Haeun Park ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3244-0716">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3244-0716</a> ) Jung Min Heo ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3693-1320">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3693-1320</a> )
<b>Competing interests</b>	No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.
<b>Funding sources</b> State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available.	This research was carried out with the support of “Cooperative Research Program for Agriculture Science and Technology Development (Project No. PJ016214)” Rural Development Administration, Republic of Korea.
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	Not applicable.
<b>Availability of data and material</b>	Upon reasonable request, the datasets of this study can be available from the corresponding author.
<b>Authors' contributions</b> Please specify the authors' role using this form.	Conceptualization: Yu M, Heo JM Data curation: Yu M Formal analysis: Yu M, Chathuranga NC Methodology: Yu M Software: Yu M, Chathuranga NC Validation: Yu M, Heo JM Investigation: Yu M, Oketch EO, Chathuranga NC, Nawarathne SR, Hong JS, Maniraguha V, Sta. Cruz BG, Seo E, Lee J, Park H Writing - original draft: Yu M Writing - review & editing: Yu M, Oketch EO, Chathuranga NC, Nawarathne SR, Hong JS, Maniraguha V, Sta. Cruz BG, Seo E, Lee J, Park H, Heo JM
<b>Ethics approval and consent to participate</b>	This study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Chungnam National University, Daejeon, Republic of Korea (approval number: 202206A-CNU-083).

4  
5

6 **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION**

<b>For the corresponding author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints)</b>	<b>Fill in information in each box below</b>
First name, middle initial, last name	Jung Min Heo
Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent	<a href="mailto:jmheo@cnu.ac.kr">jmheo@cnu.ac.kr</a>
Secondary Email address	None
Address	Department of Animal Science and Biotechnology, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34134, Korea.
Cell phone number	+ 82 42-821-5777
Office phone number	None
Fax number	None

7  
8

ACCEPTED

## 9 **Abstract**

10 The impact of crating density and prevailing weather conditions during transit on preslaughter  
11 losses, physiological characteristics, and meat quality in broilers was investigated. A total of 900  
12 35-day-old Ross 308 male broilers with an average body weight of  $1,860 \pm 17.458$  g (mean  $\pm$   
13 SEM) in summer and  $1,864 \pm 17.454$  g in winter were allotted to one of six groups arranged in a  
14  $3 \times 2$  factorial arrangement according to the three different crating densities (low:  $0.039$  m<sup>2</sup>/bird;  
15 medium:  $0.031$  m<sup>2</sup>/bird; high:  $0.026$  m<sup>2</sup>/bird) and two different weather conditions (low:  $-1$  °C  
16 and high:  $30$  °C). Birds stocked at medium density recorded lower ( $p < 0.05$ ) body weight loss  
17 compared to the low density group; and demonstrated higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) lactate levels along with  
18 lower ( $p < 0.05$ ) respiration rates when compared to the high crating density group. Extreme  
19 conditions of low crating density under low air temperature and high crating density under high  
20 air temperature led to higher ( $p < 0.001$ ) body weight loss and glucose concentration compared  
21 to low crating density under high air temperature. In conclusion, both excessively high and low  
22 crating densities are not conducive to reducing preslaughter losses and blood stress indicators.  
23 Broiler transportation under high crating density in low air temperatures and low crating density  
24 in high air temperatures is recommended.

25

26 **Keywords:** broiler, crating density, stress, transportation, weather, welfare

27

## 28 **Introduction**

29           Transportation of poultry from the farm to the slaughterhouse is an inevitable process in  
30 poultry meat production [1]. However, the transportation process exposes the birds to various  
31 stressors including catching, crating density, vehicle vibration influenced by the driver's driving  
32 skill, road quality, and the transportation environment encompassing temperatures, and wind,  
33 among others [2,3]. These factors have been reported to lead to physical injury in broilers;  
34 trigger shifts in biochemical and physiological conditions; disrupt normal homeostasis, and  
35 ultimately diminish the broiler meat quality and yield [4-6]. The levels of several specific plasma  
36 metabolites, including cortisol, glucose, and lactate, have been proposed as indicators reflecting  
37 stress levels [7-9]. Elevated levels of plasma cortisol, glucose, and lactate can serve as indicators  
38 of physical strain or stress resulting in the previously mentioned undesirable effects on meat  
39 quality and yield [10].

40           The poultry industry is therefore increasingly focused on finding strategies to mitigate  
41 transport-related stress through different interventions including reduced transportation duration,  
42 lower crating density, and dietary feed additive supplementation before transportation to reduce  
43 stress [11-14]. Certain welfare standards have been specified in various jurisdictions to indicate  
44 the timeframes for transporting broilers. The rules stipulated in [15] recommend that the duration  
45 between the loading of the final batch of broilers and broiler arrival at the abattoir should not  
46 exceed 8 hours. Additionally, the duration between the departure of the birds from the farm to  
47 their arrival at the processing plant should be no longer than 4 hours. Moreover, there is a  
48 guideline concerning the maximum weight of birds per square meter of tray floor area, with a  
49 limit set at 57 kilograms per square meter. In Korea, the appropriate crating density is 160-210  
50 cm<sup>2</sup>/kg for 1-2 kgs of broiler live weight, and there is an allowance for increasing the crating  
51 density in hot weather and reducing it in cold weather within 20% of the area required for  
52 transportation [16]. As an important aspect of transportation, the crating density influences the

53 bird's ability to adapt to environmental fluctuations during transportation. Crating density is  
54 dependent upon factors like weather conditions, the cumulative weight of live birds, and the age  
55 of the birds that are selected for transport [17,18]. Opting for higher crating densities can lower  
56 the average transport costs per bird. Reduced densities provide more space for resting and greater  
57 chances for broilers to regulate their body temperatures through behavioral adjustments.  
58 Conversely, excessive space per bird might elevate the risk of physical injuries due to a higher  
59 risk of abrasion. However, these densities must be carefully considered in terms of the stipulated  
60 animal welfare guidelines.

61 Previous studies have reported that broilers transported at higher crating densities  
62 exhibited severe body weight loss and a decline in both physical appearance and functional  
63 properties during the summer season (27.2-33.6 °C, 52.7-62.9%). In contrast, low crating density  
64 adversely impacted meat quality by leading to increased weight loss and stress in winter (3.6-  
65 9.5 °C, 63.3-78.8%) [18-20]. Another study by [21] examined the impact of various crating  
66 densities and seasonal variations [low air temperature (-9 °C, 60%), high air temperature (27 °C,  
67 80%)] on preslaughter losses, meat quality, and physiological characteristics in broilers.  
68 However, the crating density employed was notably lower than that commonly used. Despite  
69 these insights, there are few studies on the interaction between crating density and weather  
70 conditions for preslaughter losses, meat quality, and physiological attributes in broiler chickens.  
71 Consequently, the objective of the present experiment was to investigate the effect of different  
72 crating densities and weather conditions on the preslaughter losses, physiological traits, and meat  
73 quality in broiler chickens.

74

75

## 76 **Materials and Methods**

77           The Animal Ethics Committee of Chungnam National University, Daejeon, Republic of  
78 Korea, approved the protocols used in this experiment (approval number: 202206A-CNU-083)

79

### 80 **Birds, experimental design, and treatments**

81           Before transportation, all birds were housed in Chungnam National University's  
82 experimental farm which had 104 battery cages ( $76 \times 60 \times 40 \text{ cm}^3$ ) that housed six birds until  
83 transportation and were managed according to the Ross 308 broiler management guideline [22].  
84 A total of 900 Ross 308 male broilers, aged 35 days with an average body weight of  $1,860 \pm$   
85  $17.458 \text{ g}$  (mean  $\pm$  SEM) in summer and  $1,864 \pm 17.454 \text{ g}$  in winter, were picked at random after  
86 4 h of feed withdrawal before catching. Following the Japanese method, the birds were obtained  
87 from the cages and transported securely holding their wings against the handler's body using  
88 both hands [23]. The birds were transported in the truck (capacity 18 crates) in iron crates having  
89 dimensions of 1.00 m (length)  $\times$  0.78 m (width)  $\times$  0.26 m (height). To reduce the effect of  
90 microclimate in the crates, the location of the crates was randomly arranged and each treatment  
91 was replicated 6 times and the samples were collected randomly from all locations of the crates.  
92 The transportation's distance was 20 km for 40 min at an average speed of 30-50 km/h during  
93 the early morning from 8:00 a.m. The experiment was performed using a completely randomized  
94 design in a factorial arrangement with the experimental factors being the three different crating  
95 densities [20 birds ( $0.039 \text{ m}^2/\text{bird}$ ), 25 birds ( $0.031 \text{ m}^2/\text{bird}$ ), 30 birds ( $0.026 \text{ m}^2/\text{bird}$ ) per crate];  
96 and the two different weather conditions [low air temperature ( $-1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , 47%), high air temperature  
97 ( $30 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , 40%)]. Transportation was carried out in summer and winter by using the same truck  
98 following the same transportation route was maintained in both periods.

99

100 **Transportation losses**

101           Body weight loss (g) in transit was measured as the difference between all broilers'  
102 weight before transportation and the final body weight (g) from all crates upon arrival at the  
103 destination after transportation [21].

104

105 **Carcass traits and sample collection**

106           Carcass traits were measured as soon as transportation was completed. One bird was  
107 selected based on closeness to the mean body weight of the birds in the respective crate, and the  
108 resulting weight was recorded as the live body weight. Blood samples were collected from the  
109 brachial vein into a vacutainer coated with lithium heparin (BD Vacutainer, BD, Franklin Lakes,  
110 NJ, USA) before euthanizing the birds. The birds were then euthanized by cervical dislocation  
111 for the evaluation of some carcass characteristics. The dressing percentage with giblets (heart,  
112 gizzard, and liver) was determined as a function of the live weight of the birds. The breast meat  
113 was then separated and weighed to measure its relative to the total carcass weight. The breast  
114 meat of broilers was then collected for meat quality analyses [24].

115

116 **Physiological responses**

117           Collected blood samples were centrifuged (LABOGENE 1248R, Gyrozen, Daejeon,  
118 Korea) at  $3,000 \times g$  for 10 min at 4 °C and the plasma was separated and stored at -80 °C  
119 (UniFreez U 400, DAIHAN Scientific, Wonju, Korea) until analysis. Cortisol concentrations  
120 were determined from the plasma with a cortisol ELISA kit (CUSABIO, Wuhan Huamei Iotech  
121 Co., Ltd., Wuhan, China) used in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Lactate  
122 concentration was determined by lactate assay kit (Sigma Aldrich, Co., Burlington, USA) using  
123 the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, glucose was determined from the collected plasma using

124 a glucose assay kit (Asan Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Seoul, Republic of Korea), following the  
125 manufacturer's instructions.

126 After finishing transportation, the respiratory frequency was measured as the number of  
127 breaths per minute using three randomly selected broilers per crate observed by the camera  
128 (GoPro Hero 8, San Mateo, CA) for 1 minute [25].

129

### 130 **Physicochemical traits**

131 The pH values of the breast meat were monitored immediately after sample collection.  
132 An aliquot (9 mL) of distilled water was added to 1 g of muscle, followed by homogenization  
133 (T25 basis, IKA-Werke GmbH & Co. KG, Germany) for 30 seconds. The homogenate was  
134 centrifuged at  $2,090 \times g$  (ScanSpeed 1580R, Labogene ApS, Lillerød, Denmark) for 10 min and  
135 the supernatant was filtered through filter paper (No. 4, Whatman, Maidstone, UK). The pH of  
136 the filtrate was measured using a pH meter (SevenEasy, Mettler-Toledo Intl. Inc.,  
137 Schwerzenbach, Switzerland).

138 The CIE (Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage) lightness ( $L^*$ ), redness ( $a^*$ ), and  
139 yellowness ( $b^*$ ) of broiler breast meat were determined using a spectrophotometer (CM-3500d,  
140 Minolta Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Measurements were taken perpendicularly to the surface of the  
141 broiler breast meat with a 30 mm diameter of illumination area at two different locations per  
142 sample. The results were analyzed in the SpectraMagic software (Spectramagic<sup>TM</sup> NX, Konica  
143 Minolta Inc., Tokyo, Japan).

144 For the water holding capacity (WHC) measurements, the 2 g of raw broiler breast meat  
145 sample weighed exactly was placed on cotton wool, and added to a centrifuge tube. The weight  
146 of the meat after centrifugation at  $2,090 \times g$  (ScanSpeed 1580R, Labogene ApS, Lillerød,  
147 Denmark) for 10 min was measured and compared to the initial meat weight. The moisture  
148 content of meat was determined by drying 2 g of samples placed in aluminum dishes for 3 h at



149 110 °C. The remaining moisture (%) present in the meat after centrifugation was expressed as the  
150 WHC [26].

151 To measure the cooking loss, the breast meat of the broiler was weighed and vacuum  
152 packaged and cooked for 20 min in a water bath at 80 °C until the internal temperature reached  
153 70 °C. The cooked breast meat of broilers was cooled at room temperature (20 °C) for 30 min.  
154 After removal of the vacuum bag, the surface moisture of the breast meat of the broiler was  
155 removed with paper towels, and the cooked breast meat of the broiler was weighed. The cooking  
156 loss was calculated as the difference between the weight of raw breast meat and cooked breast  
157 meat.

158

### 159 **Statistical analysis**

160 The data obtained from the experiment were analyzed using the general linear model  
161 (GLM) procedure for two-way ANOVA to evaluate the main effects (the crating density and  
162 weather) in SPSS (Version 26; IBM SPSS 2019). In terms of transportation loss and respiratory  
163 frequency measurements, the experimental unit was defined as the crate. For carcass traits, meat  
164 quality, and blood metabolites, selected individual birds were considered as the experimental unit.  
165 Statistical significance was determined at a significance level of  $p < 0.05$ . Whenever treatment  
166 effects were found to be significant ( $p < 0.05$ ), the means were further analyzed and compared  
167 using Tukey's multiple-range test procedures implemented in SPSS software.

168

169

## 170 **Results**

171           The broilers used in the experiment were transported according to the appropriate  
172 transport density specified in Korean Law [16], so the mortality rate did not occur.

173

### 174 **Transportation losses and carcass traits**

175           The results of the transportation losses and carcass traits of the broiler during the  
176 transportation are shown in Table 1. The group with medium crating density exhibited a lower ( $p$   
177  $< 0.05$ ) body weight loss compared to the low crating density group. Additionally, the group  
178 exposed to higher air temperatures demonstrated a markedly reduced ( $p < 0.001$ ) body weight  
179 loss when compared to the group exposed to lower air temperatures. Notably, there was an  
180 interaction ( $p < 0.001$ ) observed between crating density and weather for body weight loss.  
181 However, there is no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) in carcass traits among the treatments.

182

### 183 **Physiological responses**

184           The interaction ( $p < 0.05$ ) between crating density and the weather conditions yielded  
185 significant differences in cortisol, glucose, and lactate levels (Table 2). Birds transported under  
186 lower air temperatures exhibited higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) glucose and lactate values compared to those  
187 transported under higher air temperatures. Furthermore, the lactate level in birds transported  
188 under medium crating density was found to be higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) than that observed in birds  
189 transported under high crating density conditions.

190           The impact on the respiratory frequency of broilers between crating density and weather  
191 during transportation is shown in Table 3. The high crating density group exhibited an elevated  
192 ( $p < 0.05$ ) respiratory frequency in comparison to the other groups. Additionally, broilers  
193 transported under high air temperatures demonstrated a higher ( $p < 0.001$ ) respiratory frequency

194 than those transported under low air temperatures. The interaction between crating density and  
195 weather conditions yielded significant differences in respiratory frequency ( $p < 0.05$ ).

196

### 197 **Physicochemical traits**

198 Birds subjected to the low air temperature exhibited higher ( $p < 0.001$ ) pH, and WHC in  
199 comparison to those in the high air temperature (Table 4). Conversely, the high air temperature  
200 group demonstrated higher ( $p < 0.001$ ) L\* values compared to the low air temperature group.  
201 Remarkably, there was an interaction observed between crating density and weather for b\* ( $p <$   
202 0.001).

203

### 204 **Discussion**

205 This research aimed to evaluate the effects of different crating densities and prevailing  
206 weather conditions on preslaughter losses, meat quality, and physiological responses in broiler  
207 chickens. In the current study, broilers with low and high crating density exhibited weight loss  
208 levels increased by 43.32% and 20.36%, respectively compared to those under medium crating  
209 density. The increased body weight loss observed in broilers subjected to lower crating density  
210 might be linked to a comparatively heightened struggle, possibly stemming from the provision of  
211 more space during transit [20]. In the results of this experiment with respect to weather  
212 conditions, broilers exposed to low air temperatures showed a weight loss increase of 73.58%  
213 compared to the high air temperatures. Furthermore, body weight loss showed an interaction  
214 between crating density and weather conditions wherein broilers subjected to high crating  
215 density in high temperatures experienced substantial body weight loss, while those exposed to  
216 low crating density in low temperatures also exhibited significant body weight loss. Previous  
217 studies observed that broilers with high crating density showed high weight loss levels in  
218 summer (27.2-33.6 °C, 52.7-62.9%) and broilers with low crating density showed higher weight

219 loss in winter (3.6-9.5 °C, 63.3-78.8%), which is consistent with this current study [18,20].  
220 However, [21] reported no significant difference in body weight loss based on the season and  
221 interaction between crating density and weather conditions [low air temperature (-9 °C, 60%),  
222 high air temperature (27 °C, 80%)], which contradicts the findings of our experiment. For the  
223 carcass traits, the results from [18] align with the findings of this study, indicating no significant  
224 difference based on crating density. Conversely, [20] revealed no difference in carcass  
225 percentage among different crating densities, but broilers exposed to high crating density  
226 exhibited a relatively higher breast meat percentage compared to those in low crating density  
227 conditions. The variability in outcomes can likely be attributed to the differing crating densities  
228 and environments employed in each respective experiment.

229 Chickens have a tendency to undergo physiological alterations as an adaptive response  
230 when exposed to stressful environments [25]. Elevations in hormones or metabolites such as  
231 cortisol, glucose, and lactate, which act as markers for evaluating stress levels in broilers, can be  
232 triggered by various forms of stress [12,27,28]. In this current study, we observed that broilers  
233 transported at lower crate densities in low air temperatures exhibited significantly elevated  
234 cortisol levels when compared to those transported at higher crate densities under low air  
235 temperatures. These findings suggest that broilers subjected to lower crate density and lower  
236 temperatures may experience heightened psychological or physiological stress. Similarly, the  
237 glucose levels demonstrated an interactive effect between crate density and weather conditions in  
238 the current investigation wherein broilers transported in high-density crates during hot weather  
239 displayed elevated glucose levels, and conversely, those in low-density crates during cold  
240 weather also manifested increased glucose levels. The body weight losses followed a similar  
241 pattern to the stress markers cortisol and glucose, suggesting a potential association with stress  
242 [29]. In contrast to our findings, the research by [20] reported that broilers subjected to high  
243 transport density exhibited elevated glucose levels compared to those with low transport density

244 during the winter season. The variability in research outcomes may be attributed to variations in  
245 factors such as transportation duration, loading density, and temperature, which can differ  
246 significantly depending on the transportation distances employed in the respective experiments  
247 [28]. In times of stress, animals undergo a metabolic process in which substances like glycogen,  
248 glucose, and glucose-6-phosphate are transformed into lactate. This lactate buildup subsequently  
249 reduces the overall pH level, consequently influencing the meat color and WHC of meat [27,30].  
250 In this present study, we observed that broilers transported at medium crate densities in low air  
251 temperatures exhibited significantly elevated lactate levels when compared to other treatments  
252 except for low crate densities in low air temperatures. We suggest that this elevation resulted  
253 from the struggling activity of broilers during the transport.

254         Respiratory frequency is a commonly assessed variable employed to gauge and infer the  
255 physiological state of broilers [31]. Chickens, lacking sweat glands, resort to spreading their  
256 wings to enhance air contact and panting as mechanisms to regulate body temperature in high-  
257 temperature conditions [32]. Elevated respiration rates indicate a physiological response to high  
258 temperatures, often characterized as respiratory alkalosis [33]. In this study, the patterns  
259 observed in respiratory frequency corroborated those found in the stress marker glucose,  
260 indicating that high crating density in transit during the summer exerts a physiological impact on  
261 chickens.

262         pH serves as a crucial indicator closely associated with meat characteristics in chickens.  
263 pH of broiler meat is influenced by the muscle glycogen content prior to slaughter and the speed  
264 at which glycogen is transformed into lactic acid post-slaughter. Lower pH values are associated  
265 with reduced WHC of meat, leading to increased cooking loss, drip loss, and decreased  
266 tenderness [34,35]. [13] carried out investigations involving various crating densities (10, 12, and  
267 15 birds per crate) during the summer, but they found no statistically significant difference in pH  
268 associated with crating density. Likewise, [19] reported that no significant pH differences were

269 observed across different crating densities (10, 12, and 15 birds per crate) during the winter.  
270 These results are consistent with those in this present study.

271 Water-holding capacity affects color and tenderness and is one of the most important  
272 functional characteristics of raw meat [34]. Furthermore, meat color serves as a key indicator of  
273 its freshness and is a crucial sensory attribute influencing consumer purchasing decisions [34,36].  
274 Alterations in meat color are contingent upon the degradation of muscle proteins, a process  
275 influenced by the final pH and the rate at which pH decreases [37]. In this current study,  
276 transportation at high temperatures resulted in lower pH levels, reduced WHC, and increased  
277 lightness compared to transportation at low temperatures. When animals experience stress, the  
278 autonomic sympathetic nervous system releases catecholamines, leading to a significant influx of  
279 calcium ions ( $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ) into the sarcoplasm [38]. This, in turn, amplifies postmortem utilization and  
280 glycogenolysis in muscles, ultimately resulting in a lower final pH [13,21]. Consequently, based  
281 on the findings of this present study, it can be inferred that higher stress levels in high  
282 temperatures accelerate the reduction in pH, suggesting that transportation in hot weather leads  
283 to a lower final pH. Furthermore, broilers exhibiting lower pH values align with previous  
284 research results indicating increased lightness due to reduced water-holding capacity, muscle  
285 relaxation, and protein degradation [21,39,40]. Nevertheless, the findings regarding the  
286 assessment of stress on seasonal meat quality and stress-related effects on blood metabolites in  
287 this experiment do not correspond, suggesting the necessity for further research to clarify this  
288 discrepancy.

289

## 290 **Conclusion**

291 While we observed that medium crating density led to higher lactate levels, regardless of  
292 the season, this was accompanied by lower body weight loss and reduced respiration rates.  
293 Furthermore, irrespective of crating density, transportation in summer resulted in lower levels of

294 stress indicators such as glucose and lactate, but it led to a decline in the meat quality due to  
295 decreased pH and water-holding capacity. Additionally, during broiler transportation, it was  
296 observed that lower temperatures combined with lower crating density and higher temperatures  
297 combined with high crating density were associated with increased body weight loss, cortisol  
298 levels, and glucose levels. As a result, taking into account the outcomes of this study, it is  
299 advisable to employ a medium crating density regardless of the season. Moreover, considering  
300 both the season and crating density, it is recommended to conduct broiler transportation under  
301 high crating density in low air temperatures and low crating density in high air temperature  
302 conditions.

303

### 304 **Funding sources**

305 This research was carried out with the support of “Cooperative Research Program for  
306 Agriculture Science and Technology Development (Project No. PJ016214)” Rural Development  
307 Administration, Republic of Korea.

308

## References

- 310 1. Zhang C, Wang L, Zhao X, Chen X, Yang L, Geng Z. Dietary resveratrol supplementation  
311 prevents transport-stress-impaired meat quality of broilers through maintaining muscle  
312 energy metabolism and antioxidant status. *Poultry Science*. 2017;96(7):2219-25.  
313 <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pex004>
- 314 2. Benincasa NC, Sakamoto KS, Silva IJOd, Lobos CMV. Animal welfare: impacts of pre-  
315 slaughter operations on the current poultry industry. *Journal of Animal Behaviour and*  
316 *Biometeorology*. 2020;8:104-10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.31893/jabb.20014>
- 317 3. Abidin ZZ, Sulaiman NFA, Ramiah SK, Awad EA, Idrus Z. The effect of water shower  
318 spray on stress physiology and mortality in broiler chickens subjected to road transportation  
319 under the hot and humid tropical condition. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*.  
320 2022;54(6):354. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-022-03360-y>
- 321 4. Zheng A, Lin S, Pirzado SA, Chen Z, Chang W, Cai H, et al. Stress associated with  
322 simulated transport, changes serum biochemistry, postmortem muscle metabolism, and meat  
323 quality of broilers. *Animals*. 2020;10(8):1442. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10081442>
- 324 5. He T, Ma J, Mahfuz S, Zheng Y, Long S, Wang J, et al. Dietary live yeast supplementation  
325 alleviates transport-stress-impaired meat quality of broilers through maintaining muscle  
326 energy metabolism and antioxidant status. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*.  
327 2022;102(10):4086-96. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.11758>
- 328 6. Gou Z, Abouelezz K, Fan Q, Li L, Lin X, Wang Y, et al. Physiological effects of transport  
329 duration on stress biomarkers and meat quality of medium-growing Yellow broiler chickens.  
330 *Animal*. 2021;15(2):100079. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2020.100079>
- 331 7. Nijdam E, Delezie E, Lambooi E, Nabuurs M, Decuypere E, Stegeman J. Feed withdrawal  
332 of broilers before transport changes plasma hormone and metabolite concentrations. *Poultry*  
333 *science*. 2005;84(7):1146-52. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/84.7.1146>
- 334 8. Kumar P, Ahmed MA, Abubakar AA, Hayat MN, Kaka U, Ajat M, et al. Improving animal  
335 welfare status and meat quality through assessment of stress biomarkers: A critical review.  
336 *Meat Science*. 2022:109048. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2022.109048>
- 337 9. Mitin H, Zulkifli I, Che Jamri MH, Zamzuri NA, Samian NA, Hussein AN, et al.  
338 Alleviation of Catching and Crating Stress by Dietary Supplementation of *Bacillus subtilis*  
339 in Pekin Ducks. *Animals*. 2022;12(24):3479. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12243479>



- 340 10. Jacobs L, Delezie E, Duchateau L, Goethals K, Ampe B, Buyse J, et al. Impact of  
341 transportation duration on stress responses in day-old chicks from young and old breeders.  
342 Research in veterinary science. 2017;112:172-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2017.04.015>
- 343 11. Zhang C, Geng Z, Chen K, Zhao X, Wang C. L-theanine attenuates transport stress-induced  
344 impairment of meat quality of broilers through improving muscle antioxidant status. Poultry  
345 Science. 2019;98(10):4648-55. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pez164>
- 346 12. Chen R, Wen C, Gu Y, Wang C, Chen Y, Zhuang S, et al. Dietary betaine supplementation  
347 improves meat quality of transported broilers through altering muscle anaerobic glycolysis  
348 and antioxidant capacity. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture.  
349 2020;100(6):2656-63. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.10296>
- 350 13. Hussnain F, Mahmud A, Mehmood S, Jaspal MH. Influence of long-distance transportation  
351 under various crating densities on broiler meat quality during hot and humid weather. The  
352 journal of poultry science. 2020;57(3):246-52. <https://doi.org/10.2141/jpsa.0190087>
- 353 14. Ibrahim HO, Aziz AA. Alleviating transport stress of broiler using vitamin C and acetyl  
354 salicylic acid. Journal of Animal and Poultry Production. 2021;12(5):169-73.  
355 <https://doi.org/10.21608/jappmu.2021.178565>
- 356 15. RSPCA. RSPCA Welfare Standards for Meat Chickens. RSPCA West Sussex, UK; 2017.
- 357 16. Korea Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs Animal, Transport detailed  
358 regulations. March 05, 2013.
- 359 17. Delezie E, Swennen Q, Buyse J, Decuypere E. The effect of feed withdrawal and crating  
360 density in transit on metabolism and meat quality of broilers at slaughter weight. Poultry  
361 Science. 2007;86(7):1414-23. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/86.7.1414>
- 362 18. Hussnain F, Mahmud A, MAHMOOD S, Jaspal MH. Effect of transportation distance and  
363 crating density on preslaughter losses and blood biochemical profile in broilers during hot  
364 and humid weather. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences. 2020;44(2):418-26.  
365 <https://doi.org/10.3906/vet-1905-85>
- 366 19. Hussnain F, Mahmud A, Mehmood S, Jaspal MH. Meat Quality and Cooking  
367 Characteristics in Broilers Influenced by Winter Transportation Distance and Crate Density.  
368 The Journal of Poultry Science. 2019:0190014. <https://doi.org/10.2141/jpsa.0190014>
- 369 20. Hussnain F, Mahmud A, Mehmood S, Jaspal M. Effect of broiler crating density and  
370 transportation distance on preslaughter losses and physiological response during the winter

- 371 season in Punjab, Pakistan. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science*. 2020;22.  
372 <https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9061-2019-1169>
- 373 21. Yu M, Oketch EO, Hong JS, Nawarathne SR, Vohobjonov Y, Heo JM. Evaluation of  
374 preslaughter losses, meat quality, and physiological characteristics of broilers in response to  
375 crating density for the standard of animal welfare and to seasonal differences. *Korean*  
376 *Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2022;49(4):927-36. <https://doi.org/10.7744/kjoas.2022084>
- 377 22. Aviagen Ross Broiler Guide, Available online: <https://en.aviagen.com>
- 378 23. Dos Santos V, Dallago B, Racanicci A, Santana Â P, Bernal F. Effects of season and  
379 distance during transport on broiler chicken meat. *Poultry science*. 2017;96(12):4270-9.  
380 <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pex282>
- 381 24. Yu M, Jeon JO, Cho HM, Hong JS, Kim YB, Nawarathne SR, et al. Broiler responses to  
382 dietary 3, 4, 5-trihydroxybenzoic acid and oregano extracts under *Eimeria* challenge  
383 conditions. *Journal of Animal Science and Technology*. 2021;63(6):1362.  
384 <https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2021.e121>
- 385 25. Son J, Kim H-J, Hong E-C, Kang H-K. Effects of stocking density on growth performance,  
386 antioxidant status, and meat quality of finisher broiler chickens under high temperature.  
387 *Antioxidants*. 2022;11(5):871. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox11050871>
- 388 26. Jeong HG, Jo K, Lee S, Yong HI, Choi Y-S, Jung S. Characteristics of pork emulsion gel  
389 manufactured with hot-boned pork and winter mushroom powder without phosphate. *Meat*  
390 *Science*. 2023;197:109070. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2022.109070>
- 391 27. Zhang L, Yue H, Zhang H, Xu L, Wu S, Yan H, et al. Transport stress in broilers: I. Blood  
392 metabolism, glycolytic potential, and meat quality. *Poultry science*. 2009;88(10):2033-41.  
393 <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2009-00128>
- 394 28. Schwartzkopf-Genswein K, Faucitano L, Dadgar S, Shand P, González L, Crowe T. Road  
395 transport of cattle, swine and poultry in North America and its impact on animal welfare,  
396 carcass and meat quality: A review. *Meat science*. 2012;92(3):227-43.  
397 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2012.04.010>
- 398 29. Xu X, Zhu Y, Wei Y, Chen X, Li R, Xie J, et al. Dietary Se-Enriched Cardamine ensiensis  
399 Supplementation Alleviates Transport-Stress-Induced Body Weight Loss, Anti-Oxidative  
400 Capacity and Meat Quality Impairments of Broilers. *Animals*. 2022;12(22):3193.  
401 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12223193>

- 402 30. Choe J. Pre-slaughter stress, animal welfare, and its implication on meat quality. Korean  
403 Journal of Agricultural Science. 2018;45(1):58-65. <https://doi.org/10.7744/kjoas.20180013>
- 404 31. Bianca W, Kunz P. Physiological reactions of three breeds of goats to cold, heat and high  
405 altitude. Livestock Production Science. 1978;5(1):57-69. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-6226(78)90007-6)  
406 [6226\(78\)90007-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-6226(78)90007-6)
- 407 32. Mello J, Boiago MM, Giampietro-Ganeco A, Berton M, Vieira L, Souza R, et al. Periods of  
408 heat stress during the growing affects negatively the performance and carcass yield of  
409 broilers. Archivos de zootecnia. 2015;64(248):339-45.
- 410 33. He S, Li S, Arowolo MA, Yu Q, Chen F, Hu R, et al. Effect of resveratrol on growth  
411 performance, rectal temperature and serum parameters of yellow-feather broilers under heat  
412 stress. Animal Science Journal. 2019;90(3):401-11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.13161>
- 413 34. Mir NA, Rafiq A, Kumar F, Singh V, Shukla V. Determinants of broiler chicken meat  
414 quality and factors affecting them: a review. Journal of food science and technology.  
415 2017;54:2997-3009. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-017-2789-z>
- 416 35. Tougan PU, Dahouda M, Salifou CFA, Ahounou SGA, Kpodekon MT, Mensah GA, et al.  
417 Conversion of chicken muscle to meat and factors affecting chicken meat quality: a review.  
418 International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research. 2013;3(8):1-20.
- 419 36. Fletcher D. Poultry meat quality. World's Poultry Science Journal. 2002;58(2):131-45.  
420 <https://doi.org/10.1079/WPS20020013>
- 421 37. Matarneh SK, Scheffler TL, Gerrard DE. The conversion of muscle to meat. Lawrie's meat  
422 science: Elsevier; 2023. p. 159-94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-85408-5.00010-8>
- 423 38. Minka N, Ayo J. Physiological responses of food animals to road transportation stress.  
424 African Journal of Biotechnology. 2009;8(25).
- 425 39. Baracho MdS, Camargo G, Lima A, Mentem J, Moura D, Moreira J, et al. Variables  
426 impacting poultry meat quality from production to pre-slaughter: a review. Brazilian Journal  
427 of Poultry Science. 2006;8:201-12. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-635X2006000400001>
- 428 40. Bowker B, Zhuang H. Relationship between water-holding capacity and protein  
429 denaturation in broiler breast meat. Poultry science. 2015;94(7):1657-64.  
430 <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pev120>

## Tables

433 **Table 1.** Influence of crating density and weather conditions on live bird weight losses and  
 434 carcass traits of broilers during transportation.

Crating density <sup>1</sup>	Weather <sup>2</sup>	Body weight loss (g)	Dressing ratio (%)	Relative breast meat weight (g)
Low		82.95 <sup>c</sup>	88.72	31.64
Medium	Low	42.95 <sup>b</sup>	90.00	30.37
High		40.28 <sup>b</sup>	89.60	29.54
Low		20.27 <sup>a</sup>	89.95	28.96
Medium	High	29.06 <sup>ab</sup>	90.00	29.03
High		46.40 <sup>b</sup>	89.90	30.11
SEM		1.724	0.143	0.297
Main effect				
Crating density				
Low		51.61 <sup>b</sup>	89.33	30.30
Medium		36.01 <sup>a</sup>	90.00	29.70
High		43.34 <sup>ab</sup>	89.75	29.83
SEM		2.987	0.248	0.515
Weather				
Low		55.39	89.44	30.52
High		31.91	89.95	29.36
SEM		2.439	0.203	0.420
<i>p</i> -value				
Crating density		0.004	0.176	0.688
Weather		<0.001	0.088	0.063
Crating density × Weather		<0.001	0.205	0.097

435 <sup>1</sup>Crating density: Low = 20 birds/crate (0.039 m<sup>2</sup>/bird), Medium = 25 birds/crate (0.031  
 436 m<sup>2</sup>/bird), High = 30 birds/crate (0.026 m<sup>2</sup>/bird).

437 <sup>2</sup>Weather: Low = low air temperature (- 1 °C), High = high air temperature (30 °C).

438 SEM: standard error of the mean.

439 a-c Values with different superscripts in the same row were significantly different (*p* <  
 440 0.05).

442 **Table 2.** Influence of crating density and weather conditions on blood metabolites of broilers  
 443 during transportation.

Crating density <sup>1</sup>	Weather <sup>2</sup>	Cortisol (ng/mL)	Glucose (mg/dL)	Lactate (ng/μL)
Low	Low	36.07 <sup>b</sup>	204.84 <sup>c</sup>	4.26 <sup>bc</sup>
Medium		30.83 <sup>ab</sup>	194.54 <sup>bc</sup>	4.46 <sup>c</sup>
High		23.21 <sup>a</sup>	184.39 <sup>bc</sup>	3.93 <sup>b</sup>
Low	High	27.48 <sup>ab</sup>	122.35 <sup>a</sup>	1.52 <sup>a</sup>
Medium		28.83 <sup>ab</sup>	149.55 <sup>ab</sup>	1.54 <sup>a</sup>
High		31.97 <sup>ab</sup>	202.37 <sup>c</sup>	1.50 <sup>a</sup>
SEM		0.939	4.974	0.034
Main effect				
Crating density				
Low		31.77	163.59	2.89 <sup>ab</sup>
Medium		29.83	172.04	3.00 <sup>b</sup>
High		27.59	193.38	2.71 <sup>a</sup>
SEM		1.626	8.615	0.059
Weather				
Low		30.04	194.59	4.21
High		29.43	158.09	1.52
SEM		1.327	7.034	0.048
<i>p</i> -value				
Crating density		0.208	0.056	0.006
Weather		0.748	0.001	<0.001
Crating density × Weather		0.003	0.001	0.019

444 <sup>1</sup>Crating density: Low = 20 birds/crate (0.039 m<sup>2</sup>/bird), Medium = 25 birds/crate (0.031  
 445 m<sup>2</sup>/bird), High = 30 birds/crate (0.026 m<sup>2</sup>/bird).

446 <sup>2</sup>Weather: Low = low air temperature (- 1 °C), High = high air temperature (30 °C).

447 SEM: standard error of the mean.

448 a-c Values with different superscripts in the same row were significantly different (*p* <  
 449 0.05).

450

451 **Table 3.** Influence of crating density and weather on respiratory frequency of broilers during  
 452 transportation.

Crating density <sup>1</sup>	Weather <sup>2</sup>	Respiratory frequency (count/min)
Low	Low	66.25 <sup>a</sup>
Medium		64.00 <sup>a</sup>
High		64.50 <sup>a</sup>
Low	High	158.00 <sup>b</sup>
Medium		162.25 <sup>bc</sup>
High		178.50 <sup>c</sup>
SEM		1.515
Main effect		
Crating density		
Low		112.13 <sup>a</sup>
Medium		113.13 <sup>a</sup>
High		121.50 <sup>b</sup>
SEM		2.624
Weather		
Low		64.92
High		166.25
SEM		2.142
<i>p</i> -value		
Crating density		0.041
Weather		<0.001
Crating density × Weather		0.022

453 <sup>1</sup>Crating density: Low = 20 birds/crate (0.039 m<sup>2</sup>/bird), Medium = 25 birds/crate (0.031  
 454 m<sup>2</sup>/bird), High = 30 birds/crate (0.026 m<sup>2</sup>/bird).

455 <sup>2</sup>Weather: Low = low air temperature (- 1 °C), High = high air temperature (30 °C).

456 SEM: standard error of the mean.

457 a-c Values with different superscripts in the same row were significantly different (*p* <  
 458 0.05).

459

460 **Table 4.** Influence of crating density and weather conditions on physicochemical traits of  
 461 broilers during transportation.

Crating density <sup>1</sup>	Weather <sup>2</sup>	pH	WHC (%)	Cooking loss (%)	L*	a*	b*
Low	Low	6.12	68.97	24.43	51.01	6.83	17.70 <sup>ab</sup>
Medium		6.08	72.18	23.62	51.26	7.03	19.17 <sup>b</sup>
High		6.16	72.76	23.26	51.70	6.41	17.73 <sup>ab</sup>
Low	High	5.99	63.88	22.91	54.97	7.12	18.33 <sup>ab</sup>
Medium		5.89	64.27	22.10	54.65	7.11	17.26 <sup>a</sup>
High		5.86	63.55	21.85	55.24	7.12	17.30 <sup>a</sup>
SEM		0.023	0.682	0.509	0.283	0.108	0.157
Main effect							
Crating density							
Low		6.05	66.43	23.67	52.99	6.97	18.01
Medium		5.98	68.23	22.86	52.95	7.07	18.22
High		6.01	68.16	22.55	53.47	6.77	17.51
SEM		0.040	1.181	0.882	0.490	0.188	0.271
Weather							
Low		6.12	71.31	23.77	51.32	6.75	18.20
High		5.91	63.90	22.29	54.95	7.12	17.63
SEM		0.033	0.965	0.720	0.400	0.153	0.222
<i>p</i> -value							
Crating density		0.472	0.484	0.655	0.709	0.510	0.175
Weather		<0.001	<0.001	0.156	<0.001	0.097	0.073
Crating density × Weather		0.360	0.460	0.999	0.911	0.492	0.006

462 <sup>1</sup>Crating density: Low = 20 birds/crate (0.039 m<sup>2</sup>/bird), Medium = 25 birds/crate (0.031  
 463 m<sup>2</sup>/bird), High = 30 birds/crate (0.026 m<sup>2</sup>/bird).

464 <sup>2</sup>Weather: Low = low air temperature (- 1 °C), High = high air temperature (30 °C).

465 SEM: standard error of the mean.

466 a-b Values with different superscripts in the same row were significantly different (*p* <  
 467 0.05).