RESEARCH ARTICLE

J Anim Sci Technol 2023;65(6):1242-1253
https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2023.e49

JAST
Journal of Animal Science and Technology
pISSN 2672-0191 eISSN 2055-0391

Effects of lysine concentration of the diet on growth performance and meat quality in finishing pigs with high slaughter weights

Tae-Whan Park[1#], Eun-Yeong Lee[2,3#], Yeunhae Jung[2,3], Yu Min Son[2,3], Sang-Hyon Oh[2], Doo-Hwan Kim[2], Chul Young Lee[1], Seon-Tea Joo[2,3] and Jae-Cheol Jang[2*]

[1]*Department of Animal Resources Technology, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52725, Korea*
[2]*Division of Animal Science, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea*
[3]*Institute of Agricultural and Life Science, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea*

Received: Apr 25, 2023
Revised: May 15, 2023
Accepted: May 22, 2023

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

***Corresponding author**
Jae-Cheol Jang
Division of Animal Science, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea.
Tel: +82-55-772-3282
E-mail: jaejang1278@gnu.ac.kr

ORCID
Tae-Whan Park
https://orcid.org/0009-0003-6739-2504
Eun-Yeong Lee
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3467-7349
Yeunhae Jung
https://orcid.org/0009-0008-1397-5874
Yu Min Son
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0793-4055
Sang-Hyon Oh
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9696-9638
Doo-Hwan Kim
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4986-8439

Abstract

The present study aimed to investigate the feasibility of using a diet low in lysine content as a means for increasing the intramuscular fat (IMF) content and pork muscle quality of finishing pigs. Thirty-two crossbred gilts and barrows weighing approximately 80 kg were fed either a low-lysine diet (0.60%; Low-lys) or a control diet (0.80% lysine; Med-lys) under a 2 × 2 factorial arrangement of treatments. The animals were slaughtered at a 132-kg body weight (BW) on average, followed by physicochemical analyses and sensory evaluation on *Longissimus lumborum* (LL) and *Semitendinosus* (ST) muscles. The average daily gain (ADG) did not differ between the Med-lys and Low-lys groups. However, ADG exhibited a tendency of sex × diet interaction ($p = 0.09$), being greater for barrows vs. gilts on the Low-lys diet ($p < 0.05$), but not on the Med-lys diet. Backfat thickness adjusted for 132-kg BW also exhibited the interaction; it was greater for the Low-lys vs. Med-lys group within gilts but tended to be less for the former in barrows ($p = 0.08$). The IMF content was not influenced by the diet or sex in either LL or ST. The a*, b*, and Warner-Bratzler Shear Force values and fatty acid composition were influenced by the sex or diet in either or both of the muscles, but the treatment effects did not apparently influence the meat quality. Sensory scores for the flavor, juiciness, tenderness, umami, and palatability of cooked muscle were not influenced by the diet in either LL or ST. When the LL and ST data were pooled, scores for those sensory attributes were positively correlated with the IMF content, which was associated with overall greater IMF contents and greater sensory scores for ST vs. LL. Collectively, the Low-lysine diet seemingly elicited the intended lysine deficiency in gilts as indicated by the increased BFT due to the diet. However, the Low-lys diet was not effective for increasing the IMF deposition or eating quality of the pork muscle of finishing pigs slaughtered at high BW probably because its lysine content was not low enough to elicit either outcome.

Keywords: Finishing pig, Dietary lysine, Growth, Meat quality, Physicochemical characteristics, Sensory attributes

Chul Young Lee
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4735-1268
Seon-Tea Joo
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5483-2828
Jae-Cheol Jang
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9843-3186

Competing interests
No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Funding sources
This work was supported by the fund of research promotion program, Gyeongsang National University, 2022.

Acknowledgements
The authors express deep appreciation to Mr. Joo Ho Bae for his assistance with the feeding trial and also Mr. Jong Tae Seo, Mr. Chang Min Lee, and others of Busan and Gyeongnam Cooperative Swine Farmers Association who helped collect the loins and hams from the experimental animals at the abattoir.

Availability of data and material
Upon reasonable request, the datasets of this study can be available from the corresponding author.

Authors' contributions
Conceptualization: Park TW, Lee CY, Jang JC.
Data curation: Park TW, Lee EY, Jang JC.
Formal analysis: Lee EY, Jang JC.
Methodology: Park TW, Jung Y, Son YM.
Software: Oh SH.
Validation: Oh SH, Kim DH, Joo ST, Jang JC.
Investigation: Park TW, Lee EY, Lee CY, Jang JC.
Writing - original draft: Lee CY, Jang JC.
Writing - review & editing: Park TW, Lee EY, Jung Y, Son YM, Oh SH, Kim DH, Lee CY, Joo ST, Jang JC.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
The present study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (GIRB-G21-Y-0059) and Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (GNU-221011-P0122) of Gyeongsang National University.

INTRODUCTION

Meat quality is judged primarily by appearance such as color, marbling, and texture and subsequently by sensory attributes including flavor, juiciness, tenderness, and others [1,2]. The intramuscular fat (IMF) is a very important determinant of meat quality because IMF, in general, enhances marbling of fresh meat and the tenderness, flavor, and juiciness of cooked meat as well [1,3,4]. In pigs, IMF is known to be determined primarily by genetics with a medium to high heritability; however, modern meat-producing pigs have been bred preferentially for maximum lean gain for the past several decades, which has frequently resulted in production of pork low in IMF content accompanied by decreased eating quality [4–8].

It has been well documented that provision of a diet deficient in essential amino acid(s), especially the first limiting amino acid lysine, to grow-finish pigs results in an increased deposition of backfat and IMF as well as a decreased weight gain rate to varying extents depending on the severity and duration of the amino acid deficiency [3,9–15]. The increased deposition of backfat and IMF due to the amino acid deficiency is thought to result from decreased protein synthesis and consequently increased partitioning of net energy for fat synthesis, because the energy or feed intake is known to barely change or slightly increase [14] due to the dietary amino acid restriction. Moreover, the dietary amino acid restriction of finishing pigs, which brings about a reduced production efficiency as mentioned above, has been reported to improve the eating quality of pork from the amino acid-restricted pigs in some studies [3,12,15,16]. Little information is available, however, as to the effects of the amino acid deficiency on meat quality of the ham, the largest lean cut of the pig carcass, or in heavier pigs, which are known to have greater IMF contents and often render pork meat exhibiting improved eating quality compared to those of the pigs slaughtered at lower body weights (BW; 17–21). As such, the present study was initiated to examine if the IMF deposition and eating quality of the loin and ham could be enhanced by use of a moderately lysine-deficient diet in finishing pigs over-fattening beyond 130-kg BW.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and diets

All experimental protocols involving animals of the present study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Gyeongsang National University (GNU-221011-P0122). All animals used in the present study were (Landrace × Yorkshire) × Duroc progeny which had been reared on a commercial farm up to 80 kg of BW on commercial diets as described previously [15,22], with the nutritional planes of the animals comparable to those of the NRC [23] recommendations for grow-finish pigs with a medium-high lean growth rate.

A total of 32 barrows and gilts aged 141 days and weighing approximately 80 kg were placed on a feeding trial under a 2 (sex) × 2 (diet) factorial arrangement of treatments. The dietary treatments were a low-lysine diet (0.60%; Low-lys) and a control diet (medium-level lysine [0.80%]; Med-lys), which were formulated to have a same crude protein concentration and a greater ME content for the former by 0.12 Mcal/kg (Table 1). The experimental animals were allocated to four pens, with eight animals per pen, in such a way that the Low-lys gilts were penned on day 0 of the experiment followed sequentially by Med-lys gilts and Low-lys barrows on day 7 and finally by Med-lys barrows on day 14, with an aim to get to a targeted final BW on a same day, thereby precluding the otherwise inevitable between-day variation of the results of subsequent physicochemical analyses and sensory evaluation on pork muscles described below.

All experimental animals were transported to a local abattoir upon termination of the feeding

Table 1. Composition of the experimental diets (as-fed basis)

Item	Medium-lysine[1)]	Low-lysine
Ingredients (%)		100.0
Corn		52.09
Wheat		10.00
Barley		6.00
Soybean meal		2.40
Rapeseed meal		5.00
Palm kernel meal		10.00
DDGS		10.00
Tallow		2.50
Salt		0.40
Limestone		0.36
Tricalcium phosphate		0.85
L-Lysine (56%)		0.20
Vitamin premix		0.10
Mineral premix		0.10
Chemical composition		
ME (Mcal/kg)	3.20	3.32
Crude protein (%)	13.50	13.50
Total lysine (%)	0.80	0.60

[1)]It was a commercial diet whose composition of ingredients was not allowed to be publicized by the manufacturer; information on chemical composition of the diet was kindly provided by the manufacturer.
DDGS, dried distillers grains with solubles; ME, metabolizable energy.

trial at a 132-kg final BW on average and slaughtered the following day. After overnight chilling and fabrication of the carcass, the left-side loin and ham from each carcass were transported to the laboratory in a refrigerator car. The backfat thickness (BFT) reported from the abattoir was adjusted for the 132-kg live weight as described previously [15,22].

Physicochemical analysis

The *Longissimus lumborum* muscle (LL) was prepared by removing the subcutaneous fat from the loin, the *Semitendinosus* muscle (ST) being dissected from the ham. The color of the muscle was measured using a Minolta chromameter (CR -300, Minolta, Tokyo, Japan) standardized with white plates (Y = 93.5, X = 0.312, and y = 0.3198) as described previously [21]. The pH of the muscle was measured using a pH meter (MP 230, Mettler-Toledo, Schwerzenbach, Switzerland) after homogenization of 3-g sample in 27-mL deionized water for 30 seconds also as described [21]. Drip loss, cooking loss, and Warner-Bratzler Shear force (WBSF) were measured following the procedures described by Joo et al. [24] and Hwang et al. [21]. As for a quick assessment of the water holding capacity of the muscle, weight of water released from 3-g muscle squeezed between two thin plastic films pressed by a 2.5-kg weight for five minutes was measured following the procedure described by Joo [25].

The fat content of the muscle was determined by the method of the Soxhlet extraction following the procedure of AOAC [26] as described previously [21]. Composition of fatty acids (FA) of the muscle was determined by gas chromatography (HP6890N, Hewlett-Packard, Santa Clara, CA, USA) after extraction of total lipids by the method of Folch et al. [27] as described by Hwang and Joo [28].

https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2023.e49

Sensory evaluation

The sensory attributes of the muscle were evaluated by a sensory panel according to the modified Spectrum TM method [29] as described previously [21,22]. The sensory panel consisted of three males and two females who had been trained in the on-campus Meat Science Laboratory and had at least 50 hours of experience in pork sensory evaluation [30]. Briefly, marbling, color, texture, drip, and overall acceptability of fresh muscle were scored according to a 5-tier hedonic scale ranging from 1 for 'extremely bad' to 5 for 'extremely good'; for cooked pork muscle, flavor, juiciness, tenderness, umami, and overall palatability were scored with a 9-tier scale, with 'extremely dislike' and 'extremely like' assigned 1 and 9, respectively. The present sensory evaluation protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (GIRB-G21-Y-0059).

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed as a 2 × 2 factorial arrangement design using the General Linear Model procedure of SAS (SAS/STAT Software for PC. Release 9.2, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The model included the sex and diet as main effects as well as their interaction. In sensory evaluation, the experimental animal nested within the sex × diet combinatorial as well as the panelist was included in the model in addition to the main effects and their interaction. The animal, irrespective of the nesting, was used as the error term to test the hypothesis for the main effects and their interaction. The probability (p) values of $0.05 \leq p$ and $0.05 < p \leq 0.10$ were used as criteria for the 'significance' and 'tendency,' respectively.

RESULTS

Growth performance

The present feeding trial had been planned to continue to approximately 135 kg of final BW across the treatments, but it was terminated at 132 kg on average because the Low-lys group gilts grew much faster than expected. The average daily gain (ADG) was greater ($p < 0.05$) for barrows than for gilts (1,010 vs. 912 g with SEM = 33 g), but it did not differ between the Med-lys and Low-lys groups (Table 2). Moreover, ADG exhibited a tendency of sex × diet interaction ($p = 0.09$), being greater for barrows vs. gilts on the Low-lys diet, but not on the Med-lys diet. The dressing

Table 2. Effects of the lysine concentration of the diet on growth performance in finishing pigs slaughtered at high body weights[1)]

Item	Barrows		Gilts		SEM	*p*-value		
	Med-lys	Low-lys	Med-lys	Low-lys		Sex (S)	Diet (D)	S×D
Initial BW (kg)	83.0	81.4	80.2	81.1	1.5	0.31	0.82	0.43
Final BW (kg)	127.4	137.4	130.4	133.6	2.7	0.90	0.02	0.22
Days on feed	46	53	53	60				
ADG (g)	964	1,056	948	876	46	0.05	0.83	0.09
ADFI (kg)	3.56	3.78	3.16	3.19				
Carcass BW (kg)	94.3	102.8	97.9	99.4	2.2	0.96	0.03	0.11
Dressing (%)	74.1	74.8	75.0	74.5	0.9	0.74	0.91	0.49
BFT (mm)								
Measurement	20.0	20.1	19.3	22.6	0.9	0.37	0.08	0.10
Adjusted[2)]	21.2	18.8	19.6	22.2	0.9	0.35	0.90	0.01

[1)]Data are means of eight animals, except for ADFI which is a single measurement for one group-fed unit of animals.

[2)]Corrected for a 132-kg final weight.

Med, medium; lys, lysine; ADG, average daily gain; ADFI, average daily feed intake; BFT, backfat thickness.

percentage was not affected by either sex or diet. The BFT adjusted for the 132-kg BW did not differ between the two sex or diet groups. The adjusted BFT, however, exhibited a significant sex × diet interaction; it was greater for the Low-lys vs. Med-lys group within gilts, but, within barrows, it tended to be greater for the latter (p = 0.08).

Physicochemical properties of the muscle

The lightness (L*) of LL did not differ between the two sexes or between the Med-lys and Low-lys groups (Table 3). The redness (a*) and yellowness (b*) of LL were greater for gilts vs. barrows (7.84 vs. 7.11 with SEM = 0.15 for a*; 2.61 vs. 1.79 with SEM = 0.13 for b*). None of the ultimate pH value and percentages of drip loss, released water, and cooking loss, and IMF was influenced by either sex or diet (p > 0.05). The WBSF value was greater for the barrow and Med-lys groups than for the gilt and Low-lys, respectively, with an interaction between the two main effects, but the numerical differentials were rather small. The IMF content was not influenced by either sex or diet. In ST, none of the physicochemical properties measured in the present study was affected significantly by either sex or diet, except for a greater a* value for barrows vs. gilts (16.8 vs. 14.4; SEM = 0.7). When the LL and ST data were pooled, the L* value and drip loss (DL) and released water (RW) percentages were greater for LL, whereas the a*, b*, pH WBSF values and IMF content were greater for ST.

Table 3. Effects of the lysine concentration of the diet of finishing pigs slaughtered at high body weights on physicochemical characteristics of their muscles postmortem[1)]

Item	Barrows		Gilts		SEM	*p*-value		
	Med-lys	Low-lys	Med-lys	Low-lys		Sex (S)	Diet (D)	S×D
Longissimus lumborum muscle								
CIE L*	49.8	49.3	49.3	49.7	0.6	0.96	0.89	0.50
CIE a*	7.40	6.82	7.76	7.93	0.21	< 0.01	0.32	0.09
CIE b*	1.86	1.73	2.55	2.66	0.18	< 0.01	0.96	0.52
pH	5.86	5.79	5.77	5.74	0.05	0.20	0.40	0.69
Drip loss (%)	1.17	1.12	1.06	1.28	0.17	0.87	0.61	0.41
RW[2)] (%)	10.00	9.37	9.65	9.84	0.95	0.95	0.82	0.67
Cooking loss (%)	26.8	26.4	26.0	26.2	0.9	0.58	0.90	0.73
WBSF (kg/cm^2)	3.66	3.21	3.30	3.21	0.09	0.04	< 0.01	0.04
IMF (%)	3.33	3.17	2.91	3.35	0.29	0.68	0.63	0.30
Semitendinosus muscle								
CIE L*	43.7	46.2	46.4	47.3	1.0	0.07	0.11	0.43
CIE a*	17.6	16.1	14.1	14.6	1.0	0.03	0.64	0.36
CIE b*	5.71	5.47	4.93	5.92	0.45	0.71	0.41	0.18
pH	6.22	6.24	6.23	6.06	0.06	0.17	0.22	0.11
Drip loss (%)	0.82	0.82	0.79	0.68	0.07	0.21	0.46	0.42
RW[2)] (%)	4.68	6.81	4.45	5.73	0.86	0.45	0.06	0.62
Cooking loss (%)	24.5	25.3	24.6	26.8	1.1	0.49	0.19	0.56
WBSF (kg/cm^2)	3.90	4.04	4.07	4.16	0.07	0.05	0.13	0.72
IMF (%)	6.14	5.80	6.05	6.10	0.45	0.82	0.74	0.67

[1)]Data are means of eight animals.

[2)]Percentage of water released from a muscle sample (w/w) squeezed between two thin plastic films pressed by a certain weight load as a quick assessment of the water holding capacity.

Med, medium; lys, lysine; RW, released water; WBSF, Warner-Bratzler shear force; IMF, intramuscular fat.

https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2023.e49

Fatty acids composition

The percentage of 14:0 (myristic acid) out of total FA was greater for the Low-lys than for the Med-lys group in LL (1.89 vs. 1.78%; SEM = 0.03%; Table 4). The 16:0 (palmitic acid) percentage was greater for barrows than for gilts (26.9 vs. 26.1%; SEM = 0.2%) and for the Low-lys vs. Med-lys group (26.9 vs. 26.1%; SEM = 0.2%), whereas the percentage of another saturated FA (SFA) 18:0 (stearic acid) was not influenced by either sex or diet. Percentages of unsaturated fatty acids (UFA) 16:1 (palmitoleic acid), 18:1 (oleic acid), 18:2 (linoleic acid), 18:3 (linolenic acid), and 20:4 (arachidonic acid) did not differ between the two sex or diet groups, except for a greater 18:2 percentage for gilts vs. barrows (8.21 vs. 7.35%; SEM = 0.28%) and a greater 20:4 percentage for the Med-lys vs. Low-lys group (1.47 vs. 1.15%; SEM = 0.09%). The SFA percentage was greater for the Low-lys group than for the Med-lys group in total animals (41.6 vs. 40.1%; SEM = 0.4%) as well as within barrows, but not within gilts. However, percentages of mono-UFA (MUFA) and

Table 4. Effects of the lysine concentration of the diet of finishing pigs slaughtered at high body weights on composition of fatty acids of their muscles postmortem[1)]

Item	Barrows		Gilts		SEM	*p*-value		
	Med-lys	Low-lys	Med-lys	Low-lys		Sex (S)	Diet (D)	S×D
Longissimus lumborum muscle								
14:0	1.74	1.90	1.83	1.89	0.05	0.45	0.03	0.32
16:0	26.1	27.7	26.1	26.1	0.3	0.01	0.01	0.01
18:0	12.0	12.9	12.1	12.3	0.3	0.43	0.08	0.34
16:1	4.07	4.28	4.36	4.16	0.17	0.58	0.97	0.23
18:1	46.1	44.4	45.0	45.3	0.5	0.77	0.18	0.04
18:2n6	7.70	7.01	8.34	8.08	0.39	0.04	0.24	0.58
18:3n3	0.34	0.27	0.29	0.31	0.04	0.82	0.54	0.24
20:4n6	1.42	1.05	1.52	1.25	0.13	0.26	0.02	0.69
Others	0.47	0.45	0.46	0.48	0.01	0.36	1.00	0.14
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				
SFA	40.1	42.7	40.2	40.5	0.5	0.07	0.01	0.04
MUFA	50.2	48.8	49.4	49.5	0.5	0.93	0.22	0.13
PUFA	9.5	8.4	10.2	9.7	0.5	0.06	0.12	0.55
Semitendinosus muscle								
14:0	1.98	1.97	2.01	1.97	0.05	0.79	0.71	0.83
16:0	25.7	25.4	24.9	25.2	0.3	0.07	0.90	0.21
18:0	11.4	11.3	11.3	11.9	0.3	0.45	0.37	0.28
16:1	3.85	3.86	3.83	3.64	0.12	0.34	0.45	0.42
18:1	46.9	46.7	47.1	46.3	0.7	0.89	0.47	0.65
18:2n6	8.36	8.76	8.95	8.92	0.41	0.37	0.66	0.60
18:3n3	0.37	0.35	0.37	0.36	0.01	0.61	0.24	0.87
20:4n6	0.95	1.12	1.08	1.13	0.15	0.65	0.46	0.70
Others	0.57	0.55	0.55	0.57	0.02	0.82	0.88	0.18
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				
SFA	39.2	38.8	38.3	39.4	0.5	0.70	0.45	0.13
MUFA	50.8	50.6	51.0	50.0	0.7	0.75	0.40	0.54
PUFA	9.7	10.3	10.4	10.5	0.5	0.43	0.61	0.63

[1)]Data are means of eight animals.

Med, medium; lys, lysine; SFA, saturated fatty acids; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids.

poly-UFA (PUFA) were not influenced by either sex or diet. In ST, none of the percentages of the fatty acids, including those of SFA, MUFA, and PUFA, differed between the two sex or diet groups.

Sensory attributes of the pork muscle

None of the sensory scores for marbling, color, texture, drip, and overall acceptability was influenced by either sex or diet in either fresh LL or ST, except for a greater drip score for barrows than for gilts in LL (4.03 vs. 3.66; SEM = 0.08) which means less exudation in the former (Table 5). Without a greater juiciness score for gilts than for barrows in ST (5.64 vs. 5.13; SEM = 0.14), sensory scores for the cooked pork muscle, including flavor, juiciness, tenderness, umami, and palatability, also would not have differed between the two sex or diet groups in either LL or ST. When the LL and ST data were pooled, fresh LL exhibited greater scores than fresh ST in all the sensory attributes

Table 5. Effects of the lysine concentration of the diet of finishing pigs slaughtered at high body weights on sensory quality attributes of their pork muscles

Item	Barrows		Gilts		SEM	*p*-value		
	Med-lys	Low-lys	Med-lys	Low-lys		Sex (S)	Diet (D)	S×D
Fresh muscle[1)]								
Longissimus lumborum muscle								
Marbling	3.98	4.38	4.13	4.20	0.18	0.94	0.19	0.36
Color	3.25	3.45	3.33	3.18	0.16	0.53	0.88	0.28
Texture	3.83	4.08	3.80	3.80	0.12	0.24	0.32	0.32
Drip	4.08	3.98	3.63	3.70	0.11	< 0.01	0.91	0.45
Acceptability	3.73	3.93	3.73	3.78	0.09	0.40	0.16	0.40
Semitendinosus muscle								
Marbling	3.58	4.23	3.75	3.80	0.23	0.60	0.15	0.21
Color	2.83	3.08	3.05	3.33	0.21	0.27	0.23	0.95
Texture	2.60	2.60	2.83	2.88	0.12	0.05	0.84	0.84
Drip	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	IE	IE	IE	IE
Acceptability	3.60	3.70	3.50	3.60	0.11	0.37	0.37	1.00
Cooked muscle[2)]								
Longissimus lumborum muscle								
Flavor	6.43	6.43	6.33	6.50	0.10	0.90	0.38	0.38
Juiciness	3.15	3.13	3.15	3.35	0.13	0.41	0.52	0.41
Tenderness	2.95	3.50	3.15	3.45	0.21	0.73	0.05	0.56
Umami	6.30	6.30	6.25	6.43	0.09	0.68	0.34	0.34
Palatability	6.23	6.28	6.03	6.33	0.15	0.61	0.24	0.40
Semitendinosus muscle								
Flavor	7.18	7.28	7.40	7.35	0.13	0.25	0.85	0.56
Juiciness	5.10	5.15	5.60	5.68	0.20	0.02	0.76	0.95
Tenderness	4.95	5.05	5.20	5.00	0.28	0.72	0.86	0.59
Umami	7.08	7.30	7.18	7.20	0.14	1.00	0.39	0.49
Palatability	7.18	7.40	7.03	6.88	0.19	0.08	0.84	0.32

[1)]The sensory attribute was scored according to a 5-tier hedonic scale ranging from 1 for the 'extremely bad' to 5 for the 'extremely good'.

[2)]Scored according to a 9-tier hedonic scale ranging from 1 for the 'extremely dislike' to 9 for the 'extremely like'.

[1),2)]Data are means for eight animals.

Med, medium; lys, lysine; IE, inestimable.

https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2023.e49

Table 6. Pearson's correlation coefficients between the IMF content and sensory scores for the fresh and cooked *Longissimus lumborum* (LL) and *Semitendinosus* (ST) muscles[1)]

	Fresh pork muscle			Cooked pork muscle				
	Marbling	Drip	Acceptability	Flavor	Juiciness	Tenderness	Umami	Palatability
Within LL (N = 32)	0.24 (0.19)	0.09 (0.61)	0.18 (0.32)	0.00 (1.00)	0.31 (0.09)	0.27 (0.14)	0.22 (0.23)	0.19 (0.29)
Within ST (N = 32)	−0.05 (0.77)	IE	−0.25 (0.17)	−0.12 (0.52)	0.18 (0.32)	0.09 (0.63)	−0.30 (0.09)	−0.41 (0.02)
LL + ST (N = 64)	−0.20 (0.12)	0.73 (< 0.01)	−0.32 (0.01)	0.62 (< 0.01)	0.77 (< 0.01)	0.68 (< 0.01)	0.57 (< 0.01)	0.45 (< 0.01)

[1)]See Tables 3 and 5 for the IMF contents and sensory scores, respectively.

The *p*-values are shown in parentheses.

IMF, intramuscular fat; IE, inestimable.

but drip, the score of which was greater for ST vs. LT. In cooked pork, by contrast, ST was superior to LL in scores for all the sensory attributes evaluated.

The IMF content was not correlated with any of the scores for marbling, drip, and acceptability of fresh pork muscle, or with any of the flavor, juiciness, tenderness, umami, and palatability scores of cooked pork muscle in either LL or ST, with an only exception of a negative correlation with the palatability score in ST (Table 6). When all the LL and ST data were pooled, however, the IMF content was positively correlated with the drip score and scores for all the five attributes of cooked pork and also was negatively correlated with the acceptability score of fresh pork.

DISCUSSION

As for the optimum lysine content of the diet for finishing barrows and gilts with a high-to-medium lean growth rate, the NRC [23] recommends 0.80% and 0.89% of total lysine, respectively, during the phase between 75- and 100-kg BW and 0.67% and 0.74%, respectively, during the phase between 100- and 135-kg BW. Inasmuch as the estimated lean gain rate of the present experimental pigs during the entire grow-finish period as assessed from their weight gain rate and BFT [31,32] is judged to fall on the medium level [23], the optimum lysine contents of the diets for the present barrows and gilts are thought to be slightly less than the NRC recommendations for those growing at the high-to-medium lean gain rate. In this context, the lysine content of the Med-lys diet (0.80%) was comparable to the NRC recommendation for gilts but was greater than the latter for barrows, whereas the lysine content of the Low-lys diet (0.60%), which was designed to induce a lysine deficiency in both sexes, was substantially less than the NRC recommendation.

Barrows did not exhibit the expected feature of growth performance associated with the lysine deficiency due to the provision of the Low-lys diet [3,9–12], as was indicated by the tendency of decreased BFT with no decrease in ADG in response to the Low-lys vs. Med-Lys diet. In gilts, by contrast, the Low-lys group exhibited the known consequences of the amino acid deficiency in growth performance as expected [3,9–12], i.e. the increased BFT and lesser ADG, relative to those for the Med-lys control group. Moreover, the greater ADG for barrows vs. gilts on the Low-lys diet, but not on the Med-lys diet, implicates that the present Low-lys diet was adequate for supporting the maximal lean and weight gains in barrows, but that in gilts, it was suboptimal for supporting the lean gain maximally.

The IMF content, which, like BFT, is known to increase in dietary lysine deficiency depending on its severity in grow-finish pigs [3,9–12], did not change due to the Low-lys diet in the present study. This apparently does not match with the increased BFT due to the Low-lys diet in gilts, but

such differential responses of finishing pigs in BFT and IMF on a moderately-low-lysine diet have also been observed in the previous studies reported by Yang et al. [15,33]. It thus seems likely that the lysine content of the present Low-lys diet was low enough to cause an increased deposition of backfat in gilts, but was not low enough to influence the IMF deposition.

The pork meat quality is commonly evaluated on LL/*Longissimus thoracis* (LT) as well as ST or *Semimembranosus* muscle of the ham according to the physicochemical and sensory attributes of the muscle [34–36]. In the present study, ST was chosen for its high IMF content [37] in addition to the most widely used LL/LT for the meat quality evaluation. The LL and ST of the present experimental animals met the physicochemical and appearance standards for the reddish, firm, and non-exudative (RFN; 5.0 < pH < 6.0; L* < 50; drip loss < 5.0%) pork [38,39] across the treatments, except for overall high pH for ST (6.19) for unknown reason(s) falling on the dark, firm, and dry (DFD) by this criterion alone (pH > 6.0–6.2). Other physicochemical measurements were within the normal ranges, with a few small treatment effects being detected in a*, b*, and WBSF of LL. Moreover, the greater a*, b*, WBSF, and pH values and greater IMF content for ST than for LL, as well as the lower L* value for the former, were consistent with the results reported by Seong et al. [37] and Cheng et al. [40]. The FA composition, especially percentages of SFA, oleic acid, and PUFA, also are known to exert significant influences on meat quality including the sensory attributes [41,42]. In the present study, however, the treatment effects on percentages of some FA including oleic and arachidonic acids in LL, as well as those in a*, b*, and WBSF mentioned above, are deemed not to have been big enough to affect the quality of the pork muscle.

The pork meat quality was not improved as anticipated by use of the Low-lys diet, as was revealed by the absence of the diet effect on any of the sensory attributes evaluated. Instead, it was apparent in the present study that IMF is a major determinant of pork quality, which was indicated by positive correlations of the sensory scores of cooked muscles with the IMF content in the pooled LL plus ST data as well as greater scores for the drip and all sensory attributes of cooked muscle for ST vs. LL having greater IMF contents. In this regard, even the negative correlation between the IMF content and palatability score in ST was turned into a positive correlation upon pooling the data of LL and ST, implicating that the negative influence of IMF on this attribute in ST rich in IMF was overshadowed by the greater palatability score for ST vs. LL owing to its greater IMF content.

In conclusion, use of the Low-lys diet was not effective for increasing the IMF content or eating quality of the pork muscle of finishing pigs slaughtered at high BW. Seemingly, the lysine content of the Low-lys diet was not low enough to elicit an increased IMF deposition although the intended lysine deficiency was evident in the Low-lys group gilts as indicated by their increased BFT in response to the Low-lys vs. Med-lys diet. It hence remains to be further studied to formulate a low-lysine finisher diet suitable for on-farm swine production as part of a strategy for increasing IMF and pork meat quality of 'big' pigs fattening over 130 kg, which have reduced requirements of essential amino acids.

REFERENCES

1. Joo ST, Kim GD. Meat quality traits and control technologies. In: Joo ST, editor. Control of meat quality. Kerala: Research Signpost; 2011. p. 1-29.
2. Font-i-Furnols M, Guerrero L. Consumer preference, behavior and perception about meat and meat products: an overview. Meat Sci. 2014;98:361-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.06.025
3. Castell AG, Cliplef RL, Poste-Flynn LM, Butler G. Performance, carcass and pork

https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2023.e49

characteristics of castrates and gilts self-fed diets differing in protein content and lysine: energy ratio. Can J Anim Sci. 1994;74:519-28. https://doi.org/10.4141/cjas94-073
4. Hocquette JF, Gondret F, Baéza E, Médale F, Jurie C, Pethick DW. Intramuscular fat content in meat-producing animals: development, genetic and nutritional control, and identification of putative markers. Animal. 2010;4:303-19. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731109991091
5. Suzuki K, Irie M, Kadowaki H, Shibata T, Kumagai M, Nishida A. Genetic parameter estimates of meat quality traits in Duroc pigs selected for average daily gain, longissimus muscle area, backfat thickness, and intramuscular fat content. J Anim Sci. 2005;83:2058-65. https://doi.org/10.2527/2005.8392058x
6. Casellas J, Vidal O, Pena RN, Gallardo D, Manunza A, Quintanilla R, et al. Genetics of serum and muscle lipids in pigs. Anim Genet. 2013;44:609-19. https://doi.org/10.1111/age.12049
7. Estany J, Ros-Freixedes R, Tor M, Pena RN. Triennial growth and development symposium: genetics and breeding for intramuscular fat and oleic acid content in pigs. J Anim Sci. 2017;95:2261-71. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2016.1108
8. Poklukar K, Čandek-Potokar M, Batorek Lukač N, Tomažin U, Škrlep M. Lipid deposition and metabolism in local and modern pig breeds: a review. Animals. 2020;10:424. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10030424
9. Kerr BJ, McKeith FK, Easter RA. Effect on performance and carcass characteristics of nursery to finisher pigs fed reduced crude protein, amino acid-supplemented diets. J Anim Sci. 1995;73:433-40. https://doi.org/10.2527/1995.732433x
10. Cisneros F, Ellis M, Baker DH, Easter RA, McKeith FK. The influence of short-term feeding of amino acid-deficient diets and high dietary leucine levels on the intramuscular fat content of pig muscle. Anim Sci. 1996;63:517-22. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1357729800015411
11. Witte DP, Ellis M, McKeith FK, Wilson ER. Effect of dietary lysine level and environmental temperature during the finishing phase on the intramuscular fat content of pork. J Anim Sci. 2000;78:1272-6. https://doi.org/10.2527/2000.7851272x
12. Lebret B. Effects of feeding and rearing systems on growth, carcass composition and meat quality in pigs. Animal. 2008;2:1548-58. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731108002796
13. Suárez-Belloch J, Guada JA, Latorre MA. Effects of sex and dietary lysine on performances and serum and meat traits in finisher pigs. Animal. 2015;9:1731-9. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731115001111
14. Schiavon S, Dalla Bona M, Carcò G, Carraro L, Bunger L, Gallo L. Effects of feed allowance and indispensable amino acid reduction on feed intake, growth performance and carcass characteristics of growing pigs. PLOS ONE. 2018;13:e0195645. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195645
15. Yang BS, Kim MH, Choi JS, Jin SK, Park MJ, Song YM, et al. Effects of the plane of nutrition for grower pigs on their grow-finish performance and meat quality in winter. J Anim Sci Technol. 2019;61:1-9. https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2019.61.1.1
16. Madeira MS, Alfaia CM, Costa P, Lopes PA, Martins SV, Lemos JPC, et al. Effect of betaine and arginine in lysine-deficient diets on growth, carcass traits, and pork quality. J Anim Sci. 2015;93:4721-33. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2015-9117
17. Jeong JY, Park BC, Ha DM, Park MJ, Joo ST, Lee CY. Effects of increasing slaughter weight on production efficiency and carcass quality of finishing gilts and barrows. Koran J Food Sci Anim Resour. 2010;30:206-15. https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2010.30.2.206
18. Park BC, Lee CY. Feasibility of increasing the slaughter weight of finishing pigs. J Anim Sci Technol. 2011;53:211-22. https://doi.org/10.5187/JAST.2011.53.3.211
19. Wu F, Vierck KR, DeRouchey JM, O'Quinn TG, Tokach MD, Goodband RD, et al. A review

of heavy weight market pigs: status of knowledge and future needs assessment. Transl Anim Sci. 2017;1:1-15. https://doi.org/10.2527/tas2016.0004
20. Ba HV, Seo HW, Seong PN, Cho SH, Kang SM, Kim YS, et al. Live weights at slaughter significantly affect the meat quality and flavor components of pork meat. Anim Sci J. 2019;90:667-79. https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.13187
21. Hwang YH, Lee SJ, Lee EY, Joo ST. Effects of carcass weight increase on meat quality and sensory properties of pork loin. J Anim Sci Technol. 2020;62:753-60. https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2020.62.5.753
22. Oh SH, Lee CY, Song DH, Kim HW, Jin SK, Song YM. Effects of the slaughter weight of non-lean finishing pigs on their carcass characteristics and meat quality. J Anim Sci Technol. 2022;64:353-64. https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2022.e18
23. NRC [National Research Council]. Nutrient requirements of swine. 11th ed. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2012.
24. Joo ST, Lee JI, Ha YL, Park GB. Effects of dietary conjugated linoleic acid on fatty acid composition, lipid oxidation, color, and water-holding capacity of pork loin. J Anim Sci. 2002;80:108-12. https://doi.org/10.2527/2002.801108x
25. Joo ST. Determination of water-holding capacity of porcine musculature based on released water method using optimal load. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour. 2018;38:823-8. https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2018.e18
26. AOAC [Association of Official Analytical Chemists] International. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. 18th ed. Gaithersburg, MD: AOAC International; 2006.
27. Folch J, Lees M, Sloane Stanley GH. A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipides from animal tissues. J Biol Chem. 1957;226:497-509. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)64849-5
28. Hwang YH, Joo ST. Fatty acid profiles, meat quality, and sensory palatability of grain-fed and grass-fed beef from Hanwoo, American, and Australian crossbred cattle. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour. 2017;37:153-61. https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2017.37.2.153
29. Meilgaard MC, Carr BT, Civille GV. Sensory evaluation techniques. 4th ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2006.
30. Meilgaard MC, Carr BT, Civille GV. Sensory evaluation techniques. 3rd ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 1999.
31. NRC [National Research Council]. Nutrient requirements of swine. 10th rev. ed. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1998.
32. Johnson RK, Berg EP, Goodwin R, Mabry JW, Miller RK, Robison OW, et al. Evaluation of procedures to predict fat-free lean in swine carcasses. J Anim Sci. 2004;82:2428-41. https://doi.org/10.2527/2004.8282428x
33. Yang SW, Kim MH, Choi JS, Jin SK, Park MJ, Song YM, et al. Effects of the plane of nutrition during the latter grower and entire finisher phases on grow-finish pig performance in summer. J Anim Sci Technol. 2019;61:10-7. https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2019.61.1.10
34. Listrat A, Lebret B, Louveau I, Astruc T, Bonnet M, Lefaucheur L, et al. How muscle structure and composition influence meat and flesh quality. Sci World J. 2016;2016:3182746. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3182746
35. Chen FF, Wang YQ, Tang GR, Liu SG, Cai R, Gao Y, et al. Differences between porcine longissimus thoracis and semitendinosus intramuscular fat content and the regulation of their preadipocytes during adipogenic differentiation. Meat Sci. 2019;147:116-26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.09.002
36. Lefaucheur L, Lebret B. The rearing system modulates biochemical and histological differences

https://doi.org/10.5187/jast.2023.e49

in loin and ham muscles between Basque and Large White pigs. Animal. 2020;14:1976-86. https://doi.org/10.1017/S175173112000066X
37. Seong PN, Cho SH, Kim JH, Kim YT, Park BY, Lee JM, et al. Changes in the physicochemical properties of the muscles from low-fat pork cuts during chilled storage. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour. 2009;29:213-9. https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2009.29.2.213
38. Warner RD, Kauffman RG, Greaser ML. Muscle protein changes post mortem in relation to pork quality traits. Meat Sci. 1997;45:339-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(96)00116-7
39. Joo ST, Kauffman RG, Kim BC, Park GB. The relationship of sarcoplasmic and myofibrillar protein solubility to colour and water-holding capacity in porcine longissimus muscle. Meat Sci. 1999;52:291-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(99)00005-4
40. Cheng H, Song S, Kim GD. Frozen/thawed meat quality associated with muscle fiber characteristics of porcine longissimus thoracis et lumborum, psoas major, semimembranosus, and semitendinosus muscles. Sci Rep. 2021;11:13354. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-92908-3
41. Wood JD, Enser M, Fisher AV, Nute GR, Sheard PR, Richardson RI, et al. Fat deposition, fatty acid composition and meat quality: a review. Meat Sci. 2008;78:343-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2007.07.019
42. Burnett DD, Legako JF, Phelps KJ, Gonzalez JM. Biology, strategies, and fresh meat consequences of manipulating the fatty acid composition of meat. J Anim Sci. 2020;98:skaa033. https://doi.org:10.1093/jas/skaa033